

DECODED COPY

☐ Radio☒ Teletype

URGENT 5-15-63 4-48 PM MSL
TO SAC NEW YORK --4-- VIA WASHINGTON
FROM SAC LAS VEGAS

MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY; ROY COHN, BRIBERY, OO...NEW YORK.

RE LAS VEGAS RAD EIGHT INSTANT.

LAS VEGAS XXXX SOURCE ADVISED [REDACTED] CONTACTED [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] HE SPOKE TO [REDACTED] WHO
SUGGESTED HE NOT RETURN TO LAS VEGAS FOR AT LEAST TEN MORE DAYS. USM,
LAS VEGAS; MADE INQUIRY AT HOTEL FOR [REDACTED] AND PROBABLY ALERTED
[REDACTED] THAT [REDACTED] BEING SOUGHT TO SERVE HIM WITH SUBPOENA. LAS
VEGAS WILL ADVISE WHEN [REDACTED] RETURNS TO LAS VEGAS.

DEC: 5-22 PM DE

58-1232-697

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 19 1963	

[REDACTED]

b7c

FBI

Date: 5/15/63

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT

(Type in plain text or code)

Via **AIRTEL**

AIRMAIL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

FROM : SAC, LAS VEGAS (58-8) (RUC)

**SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON,
Former AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHEN
BRIBERY**

RE New York airtel dated 5/8/63.

was interviewed by SAS [redacted] and [redacted] on 5/15/63, [redacted]

② - New York
1 - Las Vegas

(3)

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
MAY 17 1963
FBI - NEW YORK

58-1232-698

Approved: DWE/m
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

FBI

Date: 5/17/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-773) (P)

SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY
(OO:NY)ReNYairtel, 5/8/63; WFO airtel, 5/10/63 and MM teletype,
5/14/63.[REDACTED] advised on 5/16/63, ROY COHN is unknown to him
personally and the firm has had no business contact with COHN.
[REDACTED] searched and no record
for [REDACTED] ROY COHN [REDACTED] located.[REDACTED] Alcohol and
Tobacco Tax Division (ATTD), advised on 5/16/63 he had located
files at that office for one [REDACTED] and stated these
files would be available for review on 5/20/63.

WFO will review ATTD files and submit report.

② - New York
1 - WFO[REDACTED]
(3)
AIRTEL

5/18/63

58-1232-700

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 1 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

[REDACTED]

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232-701

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI

Date: 5/21/63

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

FROM: SAC, LAS VEGAS (58-8) P

MORTON ROBSON
Former AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHEN
BRIBERY

Re Las Vegas airtel to New York dated 5/15/63.

b2 [REDACTED] advised on 5/17/63 that on 5/15/63 [REDACTED] b7
[REDACTED] contacted [REDACTED] Cb2 [REDACTED] advised on 5/15/63 that [REDACTED] suggested
to [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] for at least 10 more days.
[REDACTED] because of that matter you
know about.It is known that when the subpoena for [REDACTED] arrived
at the office of the USM in Las Vegas, the USM went directly
to the [REDACTED] where he inquired for [REDACTED] and advised
several individuals he was attempting to serve [REDACTED] with a
subpoena.It is suggested that if New York desires to serve
[REDACTED] with a subpoena that Bureau permission be requested to
have subpoena served by Bureau Agents.2 - New York
1 - Las Vegas
b7c [REDACTED]58-1232-707
SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
MAY 21 1963
FBI - NEW YORK
b7c [REDACTED]Approved: DWE/BT
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

LV 58-8

1 [REDACTED] is expected to return to Las Vegas within the next ten days. b2

b7c [REDACTED] advised on 5/20/63 [REDACTED] was at the 5/15/63 by COHEN who left the message for [REDACTED] to call him at JU 2-7200, New York City.

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-708

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET**

1 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7 (c) (d) with no segregable material available for release to you.

☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-709

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

5/23/63

PLAIN

AIRTEL

TO : SAC, LAS VEGAS (58-8)

FROM : SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON, FORMER
AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

USA, SDNY, advised that ROY COHN testified before
FJG, SDNY, that he [REDACTED]

USA, SDNY, advised [REDACTED] was in the name ROY MARCUS
COHN, 1165 Park Avenue, NY, NY. b3

USA, SDNY, further advised that [REDACTED] in
testifying before FJG, SDNY, stated that he [REDACTED]

The Las Vegas Division is requested to review the
records of the [REDACTED] regarding COHN's [REDACTED]

or if possible where COHN received [REDACTED] b7D

- 2 - Las Vegas (58-8)
1 - New York (58-1232)

1 - Supervisor #221

58-1232 - 710 b7c

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 23 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

b7c

NY 58-1232

The Bureau has instructed that all persons interviewed in this investigation are to be informed the investigation is at the request of the USA, and all leads are to be covered and reported in five days.

Date: 5/24/63

Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI [REDACTED]
FROM : SAC, LAS VEGAS [REDACTED] (P)
SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka
AR
OO: LAS VEGAS

WEEKLY AIRTEL SUMMARY

The following information was furnished by
on the dates indicated:

Information from [REDACTED], if utilized, should be carefully paraphrased in order to further protect the identity of this source.

3-Bureau (R.M.)
3-Los Angeles
(1 [REDACTED]
ET AL - EXTORTION)
(1 - [REDACTED]
(1 - [REDACTED]
2-Miami (1 [REDACTED]
(1 - [REDACTED]
1-New York (58-1232
MORTON ROBSON; ET AL) (Info)
1-Cleveland [REDACTED] (Info)
1-Chicago (Info)

12-Las Vegas ([REDACTED])
 (1- [REDACTED])
 (1- [REDACTED])
 (1- [REDACTED])
 (1- [REDACTED])
 (1- [REDACTED])
 (1- [REDACTED])
 (1- [REDACTED])
 (1- [REDACTED])
 (1- [REDACTED])
 (1-58-8 MORTON ROBSON; ETAL)
 (1- [REDACTED])
 (1- [REDACTED])
 (1- [REDACTED])

SERIALIZED ~~FILED~~
MAY 17 1963
FBI — NEW YORK

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent

58-1232-711

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) (b)(7)C with no segregable material available for release to you.

☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-711 pgs. 2, 3

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

LV [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] then brought up the matter of his own case in New York City. He inquired of [REDACTED] as to what [REDACTED] believed would be [REDACTED] position in the case if he were placed in a position where he had to make a definite choice between either [REDACTED] or ROY COHN. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] is "so damn loyal to his friends", but he believes that if he had to make a break with either the [REDACTED] or COHN, that he would go with the [REDACTED]. According to [REDACTED], [REDACTED] claims that COHN gave him a chance to make some money which [REDACTED] had never done. [REDACTED] pointed out to [REDACTED] that this was not true, that he had cut [REDACTED] in on the [REDACTED] at Las Vegas and also on the land deal in San Diego. [REDACTED] made money on [REDACTED] and he will not lose any on the land, which is more than can be said for some of the deals he participated in with COHN. [REDACTED] according to [REDACTED] is being pressed financially at the present time, but he has a good accounting firm and this firm has several excellent customers. [REDACTED] stated that the people in New York were threatening to indict [REDACTED] but he [REDACTED] is of the opinion they are bluffing and just trying to scare [REDACTED] into testifying.

Informant furnished no pertinent information on

5/19/63.

LV [REDACTED]

b7c [REDACTED] stated that at the present time they have [REDACTED] over a barrel". They are trying to drag him into the ROY COHN bribery matter and they will not sentence [REDACTED] until after he testifies in the COHN case. They are going to keep him "under wraps" and make sure he testifies or he will probably go to jail. If he is cooperative in the COHN matter, when he subsequently comes up for sentencing in his own case, this will be taken into consideration and on the recommendation of the United States Attorney he will probably be given probation. However, if he refuses to testify, the judge will probably "pour it on him". Both [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] agreed that [REDACTED] "is in one hell of a spot".

Information furnished by the informant on 5/21/63 was furnished to the Bureau by separate communication.

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-712

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

PLAIN TEXT

5/29/63

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON,
Former AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

b7C
91

USA, SDNY requests [REDACTED] be interviewed to determine when he was first interviewed and by whom. It should also be determined what information he gave during this interview. USA requests he be asked by whom he was interviewed on the 2nd occasion and what happened during this interview. Was there an appointment made prior to the interview and what additional information was given by [REDACTED] in the 2nd interview? Los Angeles should also ascertain what [REDACTED] testified to in NY that was not covered during the first interview.

- 2 - Los Angeles (58-495)
1 - New York (58-1232)
- [REDACTED]

58-1232-713

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
221 MAY 29 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

b7C
#221

NY 58-1232

The Bureau has instructed that all leads in this matter be covered in 5 days and all persons interviewed are to be instructed that this investigation is at the request of the USA.

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-714

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI

Date: 5/31/63

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

FROM: SAC, LAS VEGAS (58-8) (P)

SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON, FORMER
AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY
(OO: New York)

RE New York airtel dated 5/23/63.

Enclosed for New York are nine copies each of three FD 302s.

For information of New York while [REDACTED] COHN cannot now be readily located at [REDACTED] New York is requested to refer to case entitled "JAMES RIDDLE HOFFA, SUNRISE HOSPITAL, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA. MISCELLANEOUS - INFORMATION CONCERNING (ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION)". New York file 62-12622, Bureau file 63-6895, Las Vegas file 62-80. Specifically refer to following under above caption (1) Report of SA [REDACTED] dated 9/13/61 at New York which contains interview of ROY COHN concerning the \$40,000.00 item deposited to [REDACTED] (2) Report of SA [REDACTED] dated 9/20/61 at New York concerning review of COHN's [REDACTED] at office of COHN's accountant, [REDACTED] NIC; (3) Report of SA [REDACTED] dated 9/27/61 at Las Vegas, copy to New York, concerning review of COHN's [REDACTED]

From the above case file, copies not disseminated to any USA, New York should determine if this information

- ② - New York (Encls 27) *z*
1 - Las Vegas

58-1232-715

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 1 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

with encls.

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent

LV 58-8

b7C
D
should be disseminated to the USA, SDNY which may assist to clarify this matter. It is not clear from available records of the [REDACTED] in this or HOFFA matter whether after [REDACTED] borrowed the entire \$40,000.00 he personally loaned the full amount to COHEN who deposited the entire amount on the same day, 12/15/59 in a checking account at the same bank.

After review of the above, New York is requested to advise, after contact with the USA, SDNY, whether any records at [REDACTED] to be subpoenaed. If so, Las Vegas will recontact [REDACTED] and cause a detailed search to be made to locate the [REDACTED] activity of COHN.

[REDACTED] (protect identity), [REDACTED]

FBI

Date: 5/31/63

Transmit the following in **PLAIN TEXT**

(Type in plain text or code)

Via **AIRTEL****AIR MAIL**

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
SAC, LOS ANGELES

FROM: SAC, LAS VEGAS

AR
OO: Las Vegas

WEEKLY AIRTEL SUMMARY

b2
[redacted] advised on 5/22/63 that on this date [redacted] met [redacted] informant and [redacted] advised he had met on the previous day with [redacted] and [redacted] had related that he had recently appeared before a Federal Grand Jury in New York City in the ROY COHN case. [redacted] stated that Departmental attorneys appeared to be more interested in [redacted] and in the activities

b2
Information from [redacted] if utilized, should be carefully paraphrased in order to further protect the identity of this source.

- 3 - Bureau (R.M.)
2 - Los Angeles (R.M.)
(1 - [redacted])
(1 - [redacted])
① - New York (MORTON ROBSON, ET AL. 58-1232) (Info)
6 - Las Vegas [redacted]
(1 - [redacted])
(1 - [redacted])
(1 - [redacted])
(1 - [redacted])
(1 - 58-8 MORTON ROBSON, ET AL.)

58-1232-716

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 5 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

of [REDACTED] than in the alleged violation of ROY CORN. [REDACTED] mentioned that [REDACTED] of Miami, Florida, had also been subpoenaed, as well as several others.

██████████ stated that when he testified, he made it clear that ██████████ has no current records of IOU's. He stated, "these people just can't believe this" and they do not believe that ██████████ is telling the complete truth. ██████████ left definite impression that there is no current record of IOU's or other documentary evidence which relates to the COHN matter.

United States Marshal has also attempted to subpoena to appear before grand jury in New York City; however [redacted] definitely does not want to testify and he anticipates [redacted] until he feels he will not be served with a subpoena.

- 2 -

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232-717

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION **FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET**

2

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7(c) with no segregable material available for release to you.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232 - 218, 219

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

URGENT 6/6/63 6-06 PM AH

TO SAC, NEW YORK 58-1232

FROM SAC, LOS ANGELES 58-495 1P

MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY. ROY COHN. BRIBERY.
REURTEL LOS ANGELES JUNE SIX INSTANT.

LOS ANGELES IS NOT IN POSSESSION OF ALL PERTINENT
BACKGROUND INFORMATION IN THIS INVESTIGATION TO CONDUCT
LOGICAL POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION OF [REDACTED] b7c

YOUR AIRTEL MAY TWENTY LAST TO BUREAU STATES [REDACTED]
MAY HAVE FURNISHED CERTAIN FALSEHOODS TO PROTECT [REDACTED]

IT IS REQUESTED LOS ANGELES BE FURNISHED WITH LIST
OF FALSEHOODS PERTAINING TO INFORMATION FURNISHED BY [REDACTED]
ALSO NEED PERTINENT TESTIMONY OF [REDACTED] BEFORE FGJ, SDNY, b3
ON MARCH EIGHT LAST AND ALSO NEED AIMS AND OBJECTIVES
TO BE ACCOMPLISHED BY INTERVIEW.

END AND ACK

9-07 PM OK FBI NY PW

TU DISC

58-1232-720

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 9 1963	
FBI-NEW YORK	

[REDACTED] b7c

F B I

Date: 6/6/63

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)Via TELETYPE - URGENT
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: SAC, LAS VEGAS (58-8)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY, ROY COHN,
BRIBERY.

RE LAS VEGAS TELETYPE INSTANT DATE.

USA, SDNY, WITHHOLDING FORWARDING SUBPOENA TO
LAS VEGAS.

1 - Supervisor #221
[REDACTED]
b7c58-1232-721
INDEXED
FILED
JUN 7 1963
FBI - NEW YORK
[REDACTED] b7c

Approved: [Signature]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

9 45
[Signature]

M

Per

[Signature]

F B I

Date: 6/7/63

Transmit the following in ENCODE
(Type in plain text or code)Via TELETYPE URGENT
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERYRELAIRTEL, APRIL ELEVEN LAST, AND LATEL, JUNE SIX
LAST.

USA, SDNY, REQUESTS COMPLETE BACKGROUND DATA
REGARDING [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] BE DEVELOPED. USA REQUESTED
[REDACTED] NOT BE INTERVIEWED AT THIS TIME. BUREAU INSTRUCTED
ALL LEADS THIS MATTER BE COVERED IN FIVE DAYS. ALL PERSONS
INTERVIEWED BE INFORMED INVESTIGATION AT REQUEST OF USA.
NYO AND USA, SDNY, PRESENTLY PREPARING LIST OF PERTINENT
QUESTIONS FOR POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW OF [REDACTED] THIS LIST
WILL BE FURNISHED LOS ANGELES WHEN COMPLETED.

1 - New York (58-1232)

1 - Supervisor 22

Approved: 

Special Agent in Charge

Sent 
221

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

DATE: 6/7/63

FROM : SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495) (P)

SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON,
Former AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

(OO - NEW YORK)

Re New York Airtel to Los Angeles 5/29/63.

Enclosed herewith for the New York Office are nine copies of FD-302 reflecting interview with [REDACTED]

The Los Angeles Office indices does not contain any references to [REDACTED] or the [REDACTED]

b7c

On 6/3/63 efforts were made to locate [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] Los Angeles. [REDACTED] could not be located at his residence; however, it was determined that he was associated with the [REDACTED] Beverly Hills, California.

Inquiry at [REDACTED] on 6/3/63 determined that [REDACTED] maintained an answering service at this address only.

2 New York (Encs. - 9) (A.M.)
1 - Los Angeles

(15)

Incl in NY Rpt

58-1232-723

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 10 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

b7c With encls

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-724

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION **FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET**

3

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7(c) with no segregable material available for release to you.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-725

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232-726

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

SUBJECT Roy M. Cohn

FILE NUMBER 58-1232

VOLUME NUMBER 11

81 Pages

1-ELSI

FEDERAL BUREAU

INVESTIGATION

THE FOLLOWING FD-302s TURNED OVER TO USA MORGENTHAU
BY SA [REDACTED] 9/18/63

736	[REDACTED]	6/20/63
737	" " " "	"
741	[REDACTED]	Ray Case 6/26/63
742	[REDACTED]	6/28/63
744	[REDACTED]	7/1/63
749	[REDACTED]	7/2/63
750	[REDACTED]	7/3/63
756	[REDACTED]	7/12
762	[REDACTED]	7/17
763	[REDACTED]	7/8
771	[REDACTED]	5/30/63
775	records of [REDACTED]	7/26
776	" " [REDACTED]	7/26
777	" " [REDACTED]	7/30
780	" " " "	7/31
781	" " [REDACTED]	7/31
784	" " " "	8/1
785	[REDACTED]	8/2/63

b7c
D

RETAIN AS TOP SERIAL IN FILE

798. u: [REDACTED] 8/5/63.
799 - u: [REDACTED] 8/9/63.
800 - u: [REDACTED] 8/29/63.
801 - u: [REDACTED] 9/5/63.
802 - u: [REDACTED] 9/6/63.
803 - u: [REDACTED] 9/6/63.
804. u: [REDACTED] 9/6/63.
805 - u: [REDACTED] 9/11/63.
806A. u: Confidential source 7/16/63.
806B u: Pursuant to Grand Jury subpoena 7/15/63.

b7c-o

FILE STRIPPED
BY *ME* ... ON *12/8/71*

F B I

Date: 6/6/63

Transmit the following in **EN CODE**
(Type in plain text or code)Via **TELETYPE** **URGENT**
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON,
FORMER AUSA, SDNY;
RAY COHN
BRIBERY

REBUAIRTEL, SIX FOUR SIXTY THREE.

LOS ANGELES POSSESSES PERTINENT BACKGROUND ON [REDACTED] b7c
NY TELETYPE TWO TWENTY SEVEN SIXTY THREE CONTAINS PERTINENT
QUESTIONS TO BE COVERED DURING POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW. USA, SDNY
REQUESTED DURING THIS INTERVIEW EMPHASIS BE PLACED ON [REDACTED]
MEETING WITH [REDACTED] IN SEPTEMBER, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE. [REDACTED] b3
TESTIMONY BEFORE FGJ, SDNY SUBSTANTIALLY SAME AS [REDACTED] INTERVIEW b7c
IN LOS ANGELES WHICH LEAD USA TO BELIEVE THEIR STORIES MAY HAVE
BEEN REHEARSED.

NY 58-1232
b7c [REDACTED]
(2) [REDACTED]1 - Supervisor #224
[REDACTED] b7cApproved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent 201 M

Per [Signature]

F B I

Date: 6/6/63

Transmit the following in _____

EN CODE

(Type in plain text or code)

TELETYPE

URGENT

Via _____

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: SAC, LAS VEGAS (58-8)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON,
FORMER AUSA, SDNY
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

RE LAS VEGAS RADIOGRAM, SIX FIVE SIXTY THREE. b7c
b3

USA, SDNY / SENDING SUBPOENA FOR [REDACTED] TO USM, LAS
VEGAS THIS DATE. USA INSTRUCTING USM TO CONTACT FBI, LAS VEGAS
WHEN SUBPOENA RECEIVED SO USM MAY ASCERTAIN FROM LAS VEGAS
DIVISION [REDACTED] WHEREABOUTS FOR SERVICE OF SUBPOENA. b7c
b3

b7c
[REDACTED] NY 58-1232
[REDACTED]

1 - Supervisor #224

58-1232-728
P P
[REDACTED] b7cApproved: [Signature]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent 12:10

M

Per [Signature]

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: These documents were processed in
Headquarter file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-229, 230

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

F B I

Date: MAY 21 1963

Transmit the following in AIRTEL

(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRMAIL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

FROM: SAC, MIAMI

MORTON ROBSON, former AUSA,
SDNY; ROY COHN
BRIBERY

aka. b7C

AR

Re New York teletype to Bureau, 5/13/63.

Subject observed May 20, 1963, at Junior's Restaurant, Miami Beach, Fla., lunching with [redacted] and [redacted] both top hoodlums, and long-time associates. Subject advised SA [redacted] he had just returned to the Miami area from New York City. He said while in New York, he received a subpoena to appear before the Grand Jury in the ROY COHN case. He advised he did not know why the Grand Jury wanted him to testify as he did not know ROY COHN any better then he knew Agent [redacted]

- 6 - Bureau (AM) (3 - 58-5100) (3 - [redacted])
4 - New York (AM) (2 - 58-1232) (2 - [redacted])
1 - Boston (Info)
1 - Las Vegas (Info)
1 - Charlotte (Info)
2 - Miami
[redacted]

58-1232-731

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 23 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

MM [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] and that was hardly at all. [REDACTED] advised the subpoena was opened and no definite date was set for his appearance. He advised he contemplated [REDACTED]

b7c

b3

6/14/63

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (75-1145)
SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495)(P)

RE: MORTON RABSON, Former ARRA,
SUSP; ROY COOM
REIMBURSE
OO: NEW YORK

Re Bureau airtel to New York and Los Angeles dated 6/4/63.

[redacted] was contacted on 6/13/63 with respect to [redacted] taking a polygraph examination. [redacted] advised that he would be most happy to take such an examination, however, his business commitments would not permit him to take this examination for a week or so. It was then arranged with [redacted] that he would come to the Los Angeles Office of the FBI and take a polygraph examination on Tuesday, 6/25/63. b7 C-D

The Bureau and New York will be advised of the results of this polygraph examination in the usual manner.

3 - Bureau
2 - New York
1 - Los Angeles

b7c [redacted] m

221

67-1232-732

6/15/63

[redacted] b7c

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232-733

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI

Date: 6/14/63

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)TO : DIRECTOR, FBI [REDACTED]
SAC, LOS ANGELES

FROM : SAC, LAS VEGAS [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka
AR
OO: Las Vegas

b7C

Weekly Airtel Summary

b2 [REDACTED] furnished the following information on the dates indicated.

Informant furnished no pertinent information on 6/3/63, or 6/4/63.

b2 Information from [REDACTED] if utilized, should be carefully paraphrased in order to protect the identity of this source.

- 3 - Bureau (R.M.)
- 3 - Los Angeles (R.M.)

- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - [REDACTED])

- ① - New York (R.M.)
(MORTON ROBSON, ET AL 58-1232)

- 1 - Miami (R.M.) [REDACTED]
- 1 - Cleveland (R.M.) [REDACTED]
- 1 - Philadelphia (R.M.) [REDACTED]

(JAMES RIDDLE HOFFA, [REDACTED] ET AL 62-4267)

- 12 - Las Vegas (1 [REDACTED])
- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - MORTON ROBSON, ET AL 58-8)
- (1 - [REDACTED])

58-1232-734

Chicago (Info)

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 18 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

Approved: _____

Sent _____

M

Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

LV [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] commented that the FBI had been down at the [REDACTED] checking the financial records of ROY COHN. The agent also asked several questions regarding certain financial dealings of [REDACTED] from Los Angeles, California. [REDACTED] stated he had been furnished this information by [REDACTED]

b2

[REDACTED] commented that the case in New York involving ROY COHN is very much involved; however, he does not believe the Justice Department has a strong case against COHN. He believes that regardless of this COHN will be indicted and then even if they subsequently lose the case, they have ruined the man's reputation which "may be" what the AG wants to accomplish. [REDACTED] stated that the AG has a vendetta against COHN just like he has against JIMMY HOFFA, and they are out to get him one way or another.

[REDACTED]

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET7

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7(c) with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232 - 734 page 3-9

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232-735

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI

Date: 6/25/63

Transmit the following in **PLAIN TEXT**

(Type in plain text or code)

Via **AIRTEL** **AIR MAIL**

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI [REDACTED]
SAC, LOS ANGELES

FROM: SAC, LAS VEGAS [REDACTED]

- P -

OO: Las Vegas

WEEKLY AIRTEL SUMMARY

[REDACTED] furnished the following information on the dates indicated:

Information from [REDACTED], if utilized, should be carefully paraphrased in order to further protect the identity of this source.

- 3 - Bureau (R.M.)
- 3 - Los Angeles (R.M.)
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
- ① - New York (R.M. (MORTON ROBSON, et al 58-1232)
- 1 - Chicago (Info.) (R.M.)
- 1 - Philadelphia
- 10 - Las Vegas [REDACTED]
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - 58-8 MORTON ROBSON, et al)
- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - [REDACTED])

58-1232-738

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 26 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

LV [REDACTED]

b7c [REDACTED] also mentioned the case in New York involving [REDACTED] and ROY COHN. He stated he knows COHN but he is also not well acquainted with this individual. The only dealing he has had with COHN was a few months ago when COHN called him from New York City and requested [REDACTED] to represent [REDACTED] of New York in a case in Los Angeles.

[REDACTED] On this occasion [REDACTED] took depositions in Cohn's office in New York City. This matter has still not come to trial.

Informant furnished no pertinent information on 6/11/63.

[REDACTED]

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7E with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-738 pages 4, 5, 6

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232 -739

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

6/26/63

PLAIN

AIRTEL

TO : SAC, LAS VEGAS (58-8)
FROM : SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON,
Former AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

USA, SDNY, advised that in response to a subpoena
issued by USA, SDNY, to [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

2 - Las Vegas (58-8)
① - New York (58-1232)

(4)

1 - Supervisor #221

58-1232-740

INDEXED
FILED

JUN 26 1968

FBI-NEW YORK

#221

b7c

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

1 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b(3) b7(c) with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232 - 740 pages 2

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

NY 58-1232

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Bureau has advised all leads in this matter be covered and reported in five days and all persons interviewed are to be informed investigation is at request of USA.

63

FBI

Date: June 28, 1963

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT

(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTELAIRMAIL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI [REDACTED]
 FROM: SAC, LAS VEGAS [REDACTED] (P)

AR
 (OO: Las Vegas)

WEEKLY AIRTEL SUMMARY

[REDACTED] furnished the following information on the
 dates indicated: b2

Information from [REDACTED], if utilized, should be
 carefully paraphrased in order to further protect the identity
 of this source.

- 3 - Bureau (R.M.)
- ① - New York (RM) (MORTON ROBSON, et al 58-1232)
- 1 - Los Angeles (RM) [REDACTED]
- 1 - Chicago (Info) (RM)
- 1 - Phoenix (Info) (RM)
- 8 - Las Vegas [REDACTED]
- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - [REDACTED])
- (1 - 58-8 MORTON ROBSON et al)
- (1 - [REDACTED])

(15)

58-1232-743

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 3 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

LV [REDACTED]

Informant advised on 6/20/63, that according to [REDACTED] and will remain there indefinitely, and [REDACTED] is in New York City in connection with the ROY COHN matter. After leaving New York, he anticipates going to Detroit, Michigan, for two days before returning to Las Vegas on about 6/22/63.

Informant furnished no pertinent information on 6/21/63.

[REDACTED]

b7c [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] discussed [REDACTED] recent trip to New York City, where he was interrogated by Departmental attorneys regarding the bribery matter involving ROY COHN and former Assistant United States Attorney MORTON ROBSON. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] he had been questioned by USA MORGANTHAU and others in New York, and they had really "roughed him up." They stated they had proof that [REDACTED] had not been cooperating fully in the COHN matter, and that he had been trying to sabotage their investigation. [REDACTED] reportedly told them he had been cooperating with the Department and had not withheld any information. They asked [REDACTED] if he would be willing to take a polygraph examination and he reportedly advised Departmental attorneys that if they insisted he was holding back information, that he would insist he be given a polygraph examination by an operator of his own choosing.

[REDACTED]

LV [REDACTED]

Apparently [REDACTED] had been questioned previously and had given evasive, incomplete answers. [REDACTED] stated they put the pressure on him to work on [REDACTED]. He said he did not learn until yesterday that [REDACTED] had met with one of ROY COHN's partners. [REDACTED] apparently met with [REDACTED] subsequent to the time he was in New York, and discussed the matter of his testimony with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that he feels the questioning is going too far, that he furnished information in the original SEC case and now they want information regarding ROY COHN and he believes that it will go on and on from there. [REDACTED] stated he told [REDACTED] that this may be true, but regardless, he believed [REDACTED] should tell them what they wanted to know right now. He suggested to [REDACTED] that he not hold anything back, that he think the matter over clearly, and not keep saying he does not remember. [REDACTED] stated he is not about to go to jail for ROY COHN, and he is also "not about to be railroaded like this." He said he told [REDACTED] that he has got to remember the details and go over them in his mind item by item, and then set down with [REDACTED] in New York, and give him the complete story.

b7
C

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION **FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET**

 1 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b 7(c) with no segregable material available for release to you.

☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

 Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

 Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

 58-2232 - 743 page 4

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

LV [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] contacted [REDACTED] and also possibly [REDACTED] apparently everything hinges on [REDACTED] attitude and whether he will finally agree to be completely honest with the people in New York. [REDACTED] stated he impressed [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] is the whole key to the thing and that if he does not cooperate they are both going to jail. [REDACTED] stated he told [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] should have told them "when he went and said something." [REDACTED] stated that he did not tell them and [REDACTED] was confused as to how they knew, since [REDACTED] claims he did not tell them. (It was not clear to informant what [REDACTED] was referring to during this exchange.) [REDACTED] stated he told [REDACTED] that he, [REDACTED] had to make a decision one way or the other. He told him he could not "carry water on both shoulders." [REDACTED] reportedly stated he was going to go with [REDACTED] regardless of his close association with ROY COHN.

b7
c

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC (58-1232)

DATE: 7/2/63

FROM : ASAC A. M. BRYANT, DIV. II

SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON,
FORMER AUSA SDNY,
ROY COHN;
BRIBERY.

At 1:05 PM, this date, I received a telephone call while at lunch from USA ROBERT MORGENTHAU, SDNY. Mr. MORGENTHAU advised that he had just talked with WILLIAM HUNDLEY of the Department and HUNDLEY had talked with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] at which time [REDACTED] had made knowledgeable admissions of this case after he had been told that an indictment was expected to be returned against him in the SDNY for perjury.

Mr. MORGENTHAU stated that it had been ascertained from [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] was leaving at 1 PM from Dulles International Airport, Washington, for Detroit and he requested that a surveillance be instituted at Detroit on [REDACTED] to ascertain if [REDACTED] is proceeding to Detroit to have a meeting with [REDACTED].

A check was made at [REDACTED] and it was ascertained that no [REDACTED]

I telephonically advised USA MORGENTHAU's office of this and pointed out the possibility of the surveillance being picked up in view of the time discrepancy. He requested at that time that we make a check of the [REDACTED] to ascertain if [REDACTED] or ROY COHN were there. Also, he requested we check [REDACTED] to determine if [REDACTED] and advise his office.

b7c AMB: [REDACTED]

b7c

58-1232-745

A - A

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232-746

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

URGENT 7-3-63 11-16 AM LAA
TO SAC NEW YORK /58-1232/
FROM SAC DETROIT /58-277/
RE MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY

ROY COHN

BRIBERY

RE MY CALL TO DETROIT JULY TWO SIXTY THREE.

[REDACTED] ADVISED [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

DETROIT WILL NOT EFFECT COVERAGE, UAC.

END AND ACK PLS

12-17 PMNOK FBI NY JLW

58-1232-747

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
22	1963

[REDACTED]

b7
C-D

b7C

b2

*Rec'd
SA*

URGENT 7-7-63 11-36 AM CK

TO SAC, NEW YORK 58-1232

FROM SAC, LAS VEGAS 58-8 /P/ 1P

MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY, ROY COHN, BRIBERY.

 DESTINATION NEW YORK. *b7c*

ABOVE FOR INFO NEW YORK.

END AND ACK PLS.

NY----2-36 PM OK FBI NY VF

TU VF AND CLR

How adv. should be filed 7/7

b7c

58-1232-748

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 10 1963	
FBI-NEW YORK	

b7c

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION **FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET**

1 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7(c) (b) 3 with no segregable material available for release to you.

☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-751

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC (58-1232)

DATE: 7/9/63

FROM : SA [REDACTED]

b7C

SUBJECT: Morton Robeson, et al.

Bribery

SAs of NYO

On 7/2/63, the writer and SA [REDACTED] met [REDACTED] and their [REDACTED] at the USA's Office SDNY at approximately 5:45 PM.

The agents accompanied the above, via taxi, to the [REDACTED] and all went directly to [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] phoned the switchboard, took several messages, and instructed the operator not to put any phone call through to the room. Messages were from [REDACTED] & [REDACTED] left, and the remaining individuals spent the rest of the night in the room. [REDACTED] retired approx. 10⁰⁰ P and [REDACTED] at 11⁰⁰ P. At approx. 1⁰⁰ A a call from Las Vegas, Nev. was put through, however, the switchboard operator was instructed to advise the calling party they should call back the following day, and no contact was made between the caller and [REDACTED]. SAs [REDACTED] & [REDACTED]

~~see attached at 8:30 AM by SA [REDACTED]~~

58-1232-752

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 10 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

b7C

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232-753

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI

Date: 7/11/63

Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT

(Type in plain text or code)

Via TELETYPE - URGENT

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

RELAET TO NEW YORK, JULY EIGHT LAST AND NYTEL,
JUNE SEVEN LAST.

USA, SDNY, REQUESTED BACKGROUND OF [REDACTED]
NYO HAS NOT RECEIVED THIS INFORMATION. USA REQUESTS LOS
ANGELES EXPEDITE THIS INVESTIGATION. b7c

1 - New York (58-1232)

[REDACTED] b7c
1 - Supervisor 22

58-1232-754
Searched _____
Serialized _____
Indexed _____
Filed _____
[REDACTED] b7c

Approved: [Signature]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent 2:30 P M

Per [Signature]

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

DATE: 7/8/63

FROM : *WHS* SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495) (RUC)SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA,
SDNY; ROY COHN
BRIBERY

Re New York airtel to Los Angeles dated 6/10/63,
and Los Angeles airtel to Bureau and New York dated 6/25/63.

Enclosed for New York are nine (9) copies of a six
page FD-302 reflecting interview with [REDACTED]

No further investigation being conducted by the Los
Angeles Office in this matter.

b7c - D

② - New York (Encls. 54)^S
1 - Los Angeles

b7c
*5**Ind in Rpt.*
[REDACTED]

58-1232-755

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 10 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

b7c

URGENT 7-11-63 2-19 PM MJM

TO SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/

FROM SAC, LOS ANGELES /58-495/ 1P

MORTON ROBSON ✓ FORMER AUSA, SDNY - ROY COHN - BRIBERY.
RE NEW YORK TEL TO LOS ANGELES JULY ELEVEN INSTANT AND
LOS ANGELES AIRTEL TO NEW YORK MARCH TWENTYSEVEN LAST.

LOS ANGELES HAS NOT RECEIVED COPY OF NEW YORK TEL
DATED JUNE SEVEN LAST. FURNISH LOS ANGELES WITH COPY OF
THIS TEL REGARDING [REDACTED]

FOR INFORMATION NEW YORK, REFERENCED AIRTEL OF MARCH
TWENTYSEVEN LAST SETS FORTH [REDACTED] CHECKS ON
[REDACTED]

END AND ACK PLS

5-20 PM OK FBI NY PW

58-1232-157

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FBI - NEW YORK	

b7c

FBI

Date: 7/15/63

Transmit the following in _____

PLAINTEXT

(Type in plain text or code)

Via TELETYPE -- URGENT

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

RELATEL, JULY ELEVEN LAST.

NEW YORK TELETYPE DATED JUNE SEVEN LAST STATED
USA, SDNY, REQUESTED COMPLETE BACKGROUND DATA RE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
CALIFORNIA, BE DEVELOPED. USA REQUESTED [REDACTED] NOT BE
INTERVIEWED AT THIS TIME.

b7c

1 - New York (58-1232)

1 - Supervisor 22

58-1232-758
Searched _____
Serialized _____
Indexed _____
Filed _____

Approved: *[Signature]*

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

4:40

M

Per *[Signature]*

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232-759

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

FROM : SA [REDACTED] (221) *b7c*

SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

DATE: 7/15/63

USA ROBERT MORGENTHAU, SDNY, has requested that an Electronics Expert from the NYO examine his office to attempt to determine if any technical devices for monitoring conversations have been installed therein. He explained that in the course of captioned investigation, certain witnesses seemed to possess information concerning this matter and he wanted the requested examination to be sure this information is not being obtained surreptitiously from his office.

USA MORGENTHAU stated that he has a private telephone, #CO 7-7148, and also extensions 518 and 523 from court house switchboard, CO 7-7100, in his office.

b7c This matter was discussed with Supervisor [REDACTED] #22, and he recommended it be referred to Section 14 to comply with USA's request.

2 - New York (58-1232)

cc: make copy for

66-2171

58-1232-760

b7c

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-761

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI

Date: 7/17/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI [REDACTED]


FROM : SAC, LAS VEGAS [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
OO: Las Vegas[REDACTED] furnished the following information on the
dates indicated. b7cInformation from [REDACTED], if utilized, should be
carefully paraphrased in order to further protect the identity
of this source. b2

3 - Bureau (R.M.)

① - New York (MORTON ROBSON, ET AL)
(58-1232) (Info) (R.M.)

10- Las Vegas

(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - 58-8 MORTON ROBSON)[REDACTED]
(14)Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

4 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7(c) with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information: _____
- _____
- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58 - 1232 - 764 pages 2-5

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION **FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET**

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7(c) b7(d) with no segregable material available for release to you.

☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-765

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

F B I

Date: 7/19/63

Transmit the following in

PLAINTEXT

(Type in plain text or code)

Via TELETYPE -- URGENT

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : SAC, CHICAGO (58-466)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

RENYAIRTEL, JULY SEVENTEEN LAST.

USA, SDNY, REQUESTED INVESTIGATION IN REAIRTEL
AT [REDACTED] ALSO BE CONDUCTED AT [REDACTED]
CHICAGO.

b7D

1 - New York (58-1232)

1 - Supervisor 221

58-1232-766

Searched _____
Serialized H
Indexed H
Filed H

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

13217

M

Per _____

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

1 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7 (c) with no segregable material available for release to you.

☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

 Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

 Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-767

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: These documents were processed in
Headquarter file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-768, 769

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

F B I

Date: 7/25/63

Transmit the following in _____

PLAINTEXT

(Type in plain text or code)

Via _____

TELETYPE - URGENT

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

USA, SDNY, REQUESTS [REDACTED] OF

[REDACTED] BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA, BE CONDUCTED.

USA REQUESTED LOS ANGELES ATTEMPT TO DETERMINE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] BUREAU INSTRUCTED ALL PERSONS
INTERVIEWED BE INFORMED INVESTIGATION AT REQUEST OF USA AND
ALL LEADS BE COVERED IN FIVE DAYS.

b7c

1 - New York (58-1232)

[REDACTED] Supervisor 22

Searched	_____
Serialized	_____
Indexed	_____
Filed	_____

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

58-1232-770

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

1 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b 7(c) b 7(d) with no segregable material available for release to you.

☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-772

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100 -

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-773

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

F B I

Date: 7/26/63

Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT

(Type in plain text or code)

Via TELETYPE - URGENT

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : SAC, MIAMI (58-279)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

REMMTEL MAY FOURTEEN LAST.

USA, SDNY, ADVISED ROY COHN TESTIFIED AT FGJ, SDNY,
THAT HE [REDACTED] b3

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
MIAMI INTERVIEW [REDACTED] TO OBTAIN DETAILS OF COHN'S
[REDACTED] BUREAU HAS INSTRUCTED ALL PERSONS
INTERVIEWED THIS MATTER BE INFORMED INVESTIGATION AT
REQUEST OF USA AND ALL LEADS BE COVERED IN FIVE DAYS.

1 - New York (58-1232)

1 - Supervisor 22 b7c

58-1232-774
Searched

Serialized

Indexed

Filed

Approved: [Signature]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent 4:43

M

Per [Signature]

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, NY (58-1232)
ATTN: White Plains RA

DATE: 7/30/63

FROM : SA [REDACTED] #221

b7c

SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON, Former AUSA, SDNY
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

USA, SDNY advised that on 7/9/62, ROY COHN and [REDACTED] allegedly took part in a Pre-~~Amateur~~ Golf Meet at [REDACTED] Bronxville, NY. Following the match, [REDACTED] and COHN allegedly had a meeting to discuss [REDACTED] appearance before FGJ, SDNY in this case.

USA requests contact be made at [REDACTED] to determine if such a match was held 7/9/62. Further if record of participants exists, please check to see if [REDACTED] and COHN were listed.

Bureau has instructed that all persons interviewed in this matter are to be informed investigation is at request of USA & all ~~personnel~~ leads are to be covered in 5 days.

b7c

58-1232-778

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 30 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

✓

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION **FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET**

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b 7 (c) (d) with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information: _____
- _____
- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232 - 779

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232-782

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI

Date: 7/31/63

Transmit the following in _____

(Type in plain text or code)

Via _____

AIRTEL

AIRMAIL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: SAC, New York (58-1232)

FROM: SAC, Miami (58-279)(RUC)

RE: MORTON ROBSON,
FORMER AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY
OO - New York

Reurtel, 7/26/63.

[REDACTED] was not referred to him by ROY COHN or [REDACTED] advised he has no recollection of being personally acquainted with [REDACTED] or closely acquainted with ROY COHN.

[REDACTED] advised he has a recollection of talking with ROY COHN, date and time unknown. He advised at the present time he cannot recall whether he called COHN or COHN

② New York (AM)
① Miami

(3)

58-1232-783

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
AUG 1 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

MM 58-279

called him. He stated that if he called COHN, it would have been at the request of his client. Regardless, [REDACTED] advised he cannot recall the details of his conversation with COHN, but feels that it was possibly dealing with some legal aspects of the charges then pending against [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

DATE: 8/5/62

FROM : SA [REDACTED] b7c

SUBJECT: MORTON ROBINSON, et al.
ESPIONAGE

[REDACTED] b7
C-D

[REDACTED] and the
[REDACTED] was able to learn that
[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] the pro from the [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] played together at
this tourney on 7/9/62 and that ROY BOWEN was also in
attendance.

No actual check was made of records.

58-1232

58-1232-786

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 11 1962	
FBI - NEW YORK	

b7c

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION **FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET**

3

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b 7(c) b 7(d) with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

51-1232 - 787, 788

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

LV [REDACTED]

paid to ROY COHN and MORTON ROBSON, a former Assistant United States Attorney. [REDACTED] indicated that [REDACTED] was questioned for almost an hour, and he evidentially "stayed pretty close to the line." [REDACTED] is confident the Grand Jury will indict COHN and also possibly [REDACTED] of New York City. [REDACTED] is of the personal opinion that [REDACTED] rates no special consideration since he previously attempted to "frame" [REDACTED] however, made it clear to New York authorities that under no circumstances would he testify against [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] is very much concerned as to the feeling of [REDACTED] who had previously instructed [REDACTED] not to testify against COHN. [REDACTED] stated he wanted [REDACTED] to talk to "this fellow in New York." He is of the opinion that this individual, who is possibly [REDACTED] can explain the situation to [REDACTED] and make it sound better than if [REDACTED] explains the situation to [REDACTED] advised that [REDACTED]

b7c

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7(c) with no segregable material available for release to you.

☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232 - 789 pages 3,4

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: These documents were processed in
Headquarter file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-790, 791, 792

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b 7 (c) (d) with no segregable material available for release to you.

☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232-793, 794, 795

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI

Date: August 21, 1963

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI [REDACTED]
FROM: SAC, LAS VEGAS [REDACTED])
[REDACTED]
AR
(OO: Las Vegas)

WEEKLY SUMMARY

b2 [REDACTED] furnished the following information on the
dates indicated:

[REDACTED]

(Information from [REDACTED] b2 if utilized should be
carefully paraphrased in order to further protect the identity of
this source.)

- 3 - Bureau (R.M.) [REDACTED] b7C
1 - Chicago (R.M.) [REDACTED]
1 - Miami (R.M.) [REDACTED]
① - New York (R.M.) (MORTON ROBSON, ET AL, 58-1232) 58-1232-796
2 - Los Angeles (R.M.) [REDACTED]

(copies continued page 2)

b7C
Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____
b7C

b7C

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
AUG 23 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

mw

LV [REDACTED]

15 - Las Vegas

(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - 58-8 MORTON ROBSON)
(1 - [REDACTED])

(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - [REDACTED])

b7c

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET7

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7(c) with no segregable material available for release to you.

☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

58-1232 - 796 pages 3-9

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
 X FOR THIS PAGE X
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Trans. following in
TELETYPE - URGENT

PLAINTEXT

(Type in plaintext)

TO : SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD (58-773)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

REWFOAIRTEL TO NEW YORK, MAY SEVENTEEN LAST, AND NYAIRTEL,
MAY EIGHT LAST.

INVESTIGATION REFLECTS [REDACTED] ASSOCIATE IS

[REDACTED] WFO
REQUESTED REINTERVIEW [REDACTED] AS SET FORTH IN
REAIRTEL OF MAY EIGHT LAST.

BUREAU INSTRUCTED ALL LEADS THIS MATTER BE COVERED IN FIVE
DAYS. ALL PERSONS INTERVIEWED BE INFORMED INVESTIGATION IS AT
REQUEST OF USA.

1 - New York (58-1232)

[REDACTED] b7c
Supervisor 22

58-1232-797
Searched
Serialized
Indexed
Filed

Approved: [Signature]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent 2:38

M

Per [Signature]

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Roy Cohn Indicted On Perjury Charge

By THOMAS MACCABE

Roy M. Cohn, the lawyer-industrialist who skyrocketed to fame as counsel to the late Sen. Joseph McCarthy's investigating committee, was indicted by a federal grand jury today on charges of perjury and conspiracy to obstruct justice.

A federal grand jury charged that Cohn and another lawyer entered into a conspiracy in 1959 to keep four other men from being indicted in a stock fraud case. The indictment did not spell out all details of the alleged conspiracy.

Charges Revenge

Cohn promptly denied the charges, calling them "the work of a vengeful and frustrated man."

"The trumped-up charges by (U. S. Attorney) Robert Morgenthau and company are in fact welcomed by me," said Cohn. "This may seem strange to say, but it is not when viewed in light of their two-year campaign of slander, managed news leaks, harassment and high-handed tactics. I now have the opportunity of bringing all of this out in the open. I will prove the falsity of these charges and expose a rank misuse of the machinery of justice for personal revenge and retaliation."

According to the indictment, a federal grand jury in 1959 was looking into manipulation of United Dye and Chemical Corp. stock. The Securities and Exchange Commission had recommended federal prosecution of four men—Samuel S. Garfield, Irving Pasternak, Allard Roen and Allen K. Swann—on stock fraud charges.

It is charged that Cohn and Murray E. Gottesman, another lawyer, took part in a scheme to keep the four men from



Roy M. Cohn

being indicted by the 1959 grand jury.

No Bribes Cited

Gottesman, it is charged, got in touch with Morton S. Robson, then chief assistant United States attorney for the Southern District of New York.

The indictment does not

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

1 NEW YORK WORLD
TELEGRAM AND THE SUN

Date: 9/4/63
Edition: 7th SPORTS
Author: THOMAS MACCABE
Editor: RICHARD D. PETI
Title: MORTON ROBSON F
AUSA SDNY; ROY COHN
UNDER INVESTIGATION
Character: BRIBERY
or
Classification: BU 58-510X
Submitting Office: NYO

58-723-285
SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
22 SEP 10 1963
FBI - NEW YORK
-67C

charge that any bribes were paid, but it does state that the 1959 grand jury failed to indict the four men cited by the SEC.

U.S. Attorney Morgenthau said that in 1961 another grand jury looked into the United Dye matter and did not indict Garfield, Pasternak, Roen and Swann.

In 1962, according to Morgenthau, a grand jury investigation was launched to determine whether any "wrong-doing" took place in connection with the 1959 probe.

Charges Lies Told

Today's indictment charges that Cohn "caused threats to be communicated" to Garfield and Roen, who both appeared as witnesses before the current grand jury. It is also charged that Cohn "improperly induced" Garfield to falsely recant testimony before the jury.

The indictment charges that Cohn and Gottesman conspired to lie to the grand jury when questioned about Cohn's contact with Gottesman in 1959.

The 10-count indictment also accuses Cohn of perjury on various matters brought up before the current grand jury.

Morgenthau Mum

Cohn said he would "appear at the United States Court House tomorrow at 10:30 a.m. to formally enter my denial in court, following which I will make a full and detailed statement concerning this 'official' vendetta."

Morgenthau declined com-

ment on Cohn's charges against him.

Robson, who is now in private practice, declined to comment on the indictment.

"I haven't seen it," he said.

Cohn, 36, is a partner in the law firm of Saxe, Bacon and O'Shea, 598 Madison Ave., and lives at 1165 Park Ave.

Gottesman, 56, lives at 70 E. 10th St.

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

LATE BULLETIN**Indict Roy Cohn**

A federal grand jury today indicted Roy M. Cohn, attorney and former Assistant United States Attorney, and Murray E. Gottesman, also an attorney, on charges of conspiring to obstruct justice and commit perjury before a federal grand jury.

Both Cohn and Gottesman also were charged with committing perjury before the grand jury. In addition, Cohn was charged with endeavoring to obstruct justice within the Southern District of New York.

U. S. Attorney Morgenthau said the indicting jury, before which the alleged offenses were committed, was conducting an investigation to determine whether any persons engaged in a conspiracy to bribe any public official and "corruptly to influence and obstruct the due administration of justice" in a 1959 federal grand jury investigation into the United Dye stock fraud.

The 18-count indictment was handed up at 11:55 a.m. to Federal Judge Bensal by the foreman of the grand jury.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

2 NEW YORK POST

Date: 9/4/63
 Edition: LATEST STOCK PRICES
 Author:
 Editor: DOROTHY SCHIFF
 Title: MORTON ROBSON FORMER
 AUSA SINY; ROY COHN
 UNDER INVESTIGATION
 Character: BRIBERY
 or
 Classification: BU 58-5100
 Submitting Office: NYO

58-1232-806

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
SEP 10 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

676

Roy Cohn Indicted in Stock Quiz

A Federal grand jury today indicted Roy M. Cohn, attorney and former assistant U. S. Attorney, and Murray E. Gottesman, also an attorney.

Both were charged with committing perjury before a Federal grand jury. In addition, Mr. Cohn was charged with endeavoring to obstruct justice within the Southern District of New York.

U. S. Attorney Robert M. Morgenthau said the indicting jury, before which the alleged offenses were committed, was conducting an investigation to determine whether any persons engaged in a conspiracy to bribe any public official and "corruptly to influence and obstruct the due administration of justice" in a 1959 Federal grand jury investigation into the United Dye stock fraud case.

WELCOMED BY COHN

Reached at his office here today, at 598 Madison Ave., Mr. Cohn said:

"This is the work of a cruel and frustrated man.

"The trumped-up charges by Robert Morgenthau and asso-

ciates are, in fact, welcomed by me.

"This may seem strange to say, but it is not when viewed in light of their two-year campaign of slander, managed news leaks, harassment and high-handed tactics.

"I now have the opportunity of bringing all this out into the open. I will prove the falsity of these charges and expose a rank misuse of the machinery of justice for personal revenge and retaliation.

APPEARS TOMORROW

"I shall appear at the United States Court House tomorrow at 10:30 a.m. to formally enter my denial in court, following which I will make a full and detailed statement, concerning this 'official vendetta'.

The indictment said that in February, 1962, the Securities and Exchange Commission forwarded to the U. S. Attorney's office in Manhattan a criminal reference report recommending

CLIPPING FROM THE

NY N Y JOURNAL AMERICAN

EDITION 1st

DATE

SEP 4 1963

PAGE 1

FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION ☒

58-1232-807

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
28	11

221

b7c

that evidence relating to the purchase, sale and manipulation of the common stock of United Dye and Chemical Corp. be presented to a Federal grand jury.

The SEC report recommended that an indictment be sought against several persons, including Samuel S. Garfield, Irving Pasternak, Allard Reen, and Allen K. Swann.

Evidence relating to the SEC investigation of the United Dye Stock was presented to a grand jury in August, 1959, Mr. Morgenthau said.

Federal Judge Dudley B. Bonnal, in dismissing the jurors, said:

"It has never been my privilege to say goodbye to a jury which has served as long and as faithfully as you. Goodbye to you, and all the best of luck."

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☒ For your information: These documents were processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100
- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232-808, 809, 811, 812

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI

Date: 9/4/63

Transmit the following in _____

CODE

(Type in plain text or code)

Via TELETYPE

URGENT

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: MORTON ROBSON, FORMER AUSA, SDNY;
ROY COHN
BRIBERY

REMYTEL THIS DATE.

9/4/63

USA ROBERT M. MORGENTHAU, SDNY, STATED HIS OFFICE SENT TELEGRAM TO COHN ORDERING HIM TO REPORT TO USDC, SDNY, FOR PLEADING ~~SEPTEMBER ELEVEN NEXT~~ ^{9/11/63}. COHN ANSWERED BY TELEGRAM STATING THAT HE WOULD APPEAR AT TEN THIRTY A.M., ^{9/5/63} TOMORROW, ~~SEPTEMBER FIVE~~, FOR PLEADING AND THEREAFTER HE STATED HE WILL HOLD A PRESS CONFERENCE AT WHICH HE PLANS TO EXPOSE THE "VENDETTA" PERPETRATED AGAINST HIM BY USA MORGENTHAU AND HIS OFFICE. NYO WILL CONTINUE TO FOLLOW THIS MATTER CLOSELY AND KEEP BUREAU IMMEDIATELY ADVISED OF PERTINENT DEVELOPMENTS.

1-New York

1-Supervisor #221

58-1232-810
SEARCHED _____ INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED _____
SEP 4 1963
FBI - NEW YORK

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

2 1/2 A
050520

M

Per _____

b7c

Roy Cohn to Fight 'Official Vendetta'

Roy M. Cohn, lawyer, financier and fight promoter, heads into Manhattan Federal Court today to issue a formal denial to grand jury charges of perjury and obstruction of justice.

The outspoken 36-year-old Mr. Cohn, not scheduled to appear at Foley Square until next Wednesday, declared he would not wait to start fighting what he called an "official vendetta."

The one-time chief counsel to the McCarthy Senate investigating committee was indicted yesterday by a Federal grand jury as the result of an investigation into the United Dye stock fraud case.

CHARGES 'TRUMPED'

Mr. Cohn and a second defendant, lawyer Murray E. Gottesman, 56, were accused in a grand jury indictment of work-

ing to prevent the indictment of four men in the United Dye case.

But Mr. Cohn says he welcomes the "trumped up charges by Robert Morgenthau and company," because it will allow him to bring everything "out into the open." Mr. Morgenthau is U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York.

Lawyer Cohn, himself a former assistant U.S. Attorney for the Southern District, charged that the indictment was the "work of a vengeful and frustrated man."

CLIPPING FROM THE

NY JOURNAL AMERICAN

NY

EDITION

DATE

PAGE

FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

58-1232-813

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
22 SEP 5 1953	
FBI - NEW YORK	

67

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

CLIPPING:

Roy Cohn

JOSEPH WERSHBA

COHN

What makes Roy Cohn run? Does he know himself? Some of his critics may be guilty of seeing him through the blur of envy—for only the dishonest can deny that he is a man of considerable talent.

Only 36, he has a foundation named for himself. His income as a lawyer is said to be \$250,000 a year. He's reputed to be worth \$2,000,000—self-made. As lawyer and business executive, he is a builder of instant empires.

And who can forget that when he was only 25—Alexander the Great's age—Roy Marcus Cohn bestrode the greatest power in the history of civilization and held its State Dept., Voice of America—and even its Army—in a panic?

Is there any connection between the Roy Cohn who was Sen. Joseph McCarthy's investigative genius a decade ago and the Roy Cohn who has now come under sudden dramatic indictment by a federal grand jury?

To those who still flinch at how close this country was brought to the brink of McCarthy-type authoritarianism in 1954, the only connection is that the mills of the gods grind slowly, but they grind exceedingly fine.

The more charitable of his detractors hope that Cohn now won't have to endure the kind of "evidence" so fashionable a decade ago, when merely to accuse was to convict.

And those who know nothing of Cohn's business activities but remain ardent admirers of his stand in behalf of Americanism against communism, are convinced that he is under attack now for what he did then.

But there is another school which holds that in an age of "know-how," Roy Cohn would have risen to the top in any field: he is a master technician.

In answer to one reporter, who relayed the accusation that he is a "takeover artist," Cohn replied: "To these people, a takeover artist is anyone who hasn't come to control by inheritance. But he is what they need; these businesses are stagnant . . . If you lived in a world of investment bankers—if you chose to live in such a world—every 10 minutes you'd hear some body say, 'What will so-and-so think?' Well," says Roy Cohn, "the one thing I have is guts."

To reporter Thomas B. Morgan, who asked

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

27 NEW YORK POST

Date: 9/5/63
Edition: LATE CITY
Author: JOSEPH WERSHBA
Editor: DOROTHY SCHIFF
Title: MORTON ROBSON FORMER
AUSA SDNY; ROY COHN
UNDER INVESTIGATION
Character: BRIBERY
or
Classification: BU 58-5100
Submitting Office: NYO

58-1232-814

H H62

him his philosophy of life, Cohn replied: "I have a basic sense of the unimportance of everything. You live 70 years. Civilization goes on after you're gone, so what difference does it make." Then he added: "You are propelled into certain things and you do them. I must like what I do or I wouldn't do it." To reporter Morgan, Cohn remains the archetype of the Adjustable Man.

* * *

Cohn adjusted quickly to the business world—and his interests have ranged from the Lionel toy company to the Fifth Av. Cosch Co. to promotion of the Floyd Patterson-Ingemar Johansson-Sonny Liston heavyweight championship fights.

He lives with his mother in an upper Park Av. apartment. "I run my house for the comfort of my son," his mother told a visitor. Cohn has remained a bachelor—"Nothing against marriage," he says, "but I can't afford to get involved." His total involvement is with his work and he is constantly on the phone whether at his firm's office (Saxe, Bacon & O'Shea) or en route by chauffeured limousine or at the Stork Club.

He was born in New York, Feb. 20, 1927, son of the late New York Supreme Court Judge Gilbert Cohn. Roy was an only child and at an early age demonstrated the qualities of brashness, energy, memory and aggressiveness that millions came to know during the McCarthy-Army hearings of 1954. He attended Fieldston, Horace Mann, Columbia and was graduated from Columbia Law at the age of 20. He had to wait a year before being admitted to the bar.

He soon became one of the youngest assistant U. S. Attorneys ever named and quickly became a specialist in subversive activities as a result of his work on such cases as the prosecution of Communist leaders, William Remington and the Rosenberg atom spy network. After serving as special assistant to the Attorney General in 1952, Cohn went over as chief counsel to Sen. McCarthy.

Cohn and his young friend G. David Schine went abroad for McCarthy and thought they discovered wholesale evidence of disloyalty within government agencies. Later, the Army countercharged that Cohn had used improper influence to get Schine preferred treatment after he was drafted. McCarthy made the issue a fight between himself and the Army. When McCarthy went down, Cohn went out. He quickly adjusted to the world of private business and seemed to be doing well—until yesterday.

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Ex-U. S. Aide May Be Cited

By NORMAND FOIRIER

A former Justice Dept. aide in New York is the key figure behind a federal indictment that charges Roy M. Cohn with trying to prevent the arrest of four principals in a multi-million-dollar stock swindle.

The New York Post learned there will be further indictments and that the ex-U. S. aide may be named as a defendant.

U. S. Attorney Morgenthau would say only that "the investigation is continuing," but The Post has learned that

EXCLUSIVE

the aide has been a target in the investigation ever since it started two years ago.

As a federal official, the aide reportedly played a major role in keeping the four men—three of them top gambling figures in Las Vegas—from being indicted by a grand jury in 1959. He further helped them, it was learned, by leaking information to them about the progress of the government's case against them.

One reliable source told The Post the aide was wined and dined only days after the four men—Samuel S. Gar-

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

1 NEW YORK POST

Date: 9/5/63
Edition: LATE CITY
Author: NORMAND FOIRIER
Editor: DOROTHY SCHIFF
Title: MORTON ROBSON FORMER
AUSA SDNY; ROY COHN
UNDER INVESTIGATION
Character: BRIBERY
or
Classification: BU 58-5100
Submitting Office: NYC

58-1233-815

A A

b7c

field, Irving Pasternak, Allard Roen and Allen K. Swann—were named as co-conspirators but not as defendants in the huge United Dye and Chemical Corp. fraud.

The alleged fix went for nothing, however, two years later when a second grand jury under Morgenthau and the Kennedy Administration again investigated the United Dye case and brought a new indictment that included the four—some as defendants. All four pleaded guilty.

The key figure in the United Dye case was convicted swindler Alexander L. Guterman, 48, a defendant who pleaded guilty and turned state's evidence. Guterman was released from jail May 6 after serving a little more than three years of a five-year sentence for fraud involving his one-time holding company, F. L. Jacobs Co.

In April, 1962, another grand jury began investigating reports about the alleged fix in the 1959 case. Attorney General Kennedy became so interested in the case that he

detailed his two most valued assistants—Walter E. Sheridan and Charles N. Shaffer Jr.—to New York to help Morgenthau. But the latter, running for Governor at the time, reportedly resented the implication that he couldn't handle the matter himself and insisted that Kennedy call off his team. The Attorney General obliged.

By its action yesterday, the grand jury declared that it did believe a fix had taken place and that Cohn was involved, along with Murray E. Gottesman, 56, an attorney, of 70 E. 10th St.

The indictment charged in part that Cohn in 1959 went to see Gottesman who in turn contacted Morton S. Robson, then Chief Asst. U. S. Attorney, to arrange that the four not be named as defendants.

[The indictment does not elaborate; it makes no further statement about Robson. The reference above to a former U. S. Justice Dept. aide who took part in a "fix" does not refer to Robson.]

Counsel to McCarthy

The 36-year-old Cohn—a decade ago he was chief counsel to Sen. McCarthy's investigating committee, a committee for which Robert Kennedy then also worked—was also indicted on three counts of perjury and four counts of endeavoring to obstruct justice and interfering with witnesses before a grand jury.

Named as co-conspirators but not co-defendants with Cohn and Gottesman were Daniel J. Driscoll, a partner in Cohn's law firm, Saxe, Bacon and O'Shea, 598 Madison Av.; Samuel Litt, Cohn's accountant; Litt's son, Bruce; and Stanley Ellenbogen, a partner in Litt's accounting firm.

The 10-count, 47-page indictment, however, says nothing about why Cohn went to the lengths he allegedly did for Garfield, Pasternak, Roen and Swann.

He has been identified in recent years with numerous and varied interests—Lionel Corp., boxing promotions, Fifth Av. Coach, Tower Universal Corp., which included travel agencies, vending machines, swimming pools, loan and insurance companies—but not nearly so prominently with Las Vegas gambling figures. But he has had dealings in Las Vegas.

In 1959 he invested \$25,000 in

Desert Inn Associates, which owns the Desert Inn Hotel and leases it to United Resort Hotels Corp. Roen, described by the government as a "protege and partner" of Garfield and Pasternak, is an officer of United Resort Hotels Corp., which also runs the Stardust Hotel. Roen is also an officer in two corporations that run the gambling concessions in both hotels.

Hospital Investment

Cohn also invested \$76,000 into a partnership called A & M Enterprises which erected the Sunrise Hospital in Las Vegas. Other partners included Roen, Eli Boyer, who is auditor for the Desert Inn and Stardust, and Morris E. (Moe) Dalitz, a top figure in the operation of both gambling establishments.

The names of both Dalitz and Boyer came out in yesterday's indictment. In one instance, Cohn was charged with falsely denying under oath that he tried to get a message to Dalitz in Europe last summer asking him to return immediately to New York.

He was also accused of trying to influence Roen's testimony before the grand jury "by causing and procuring one Eli Boyer to communicate threats" to Roen.

The indictment also charged that Cohn falsely denied that he had asked William D. Fugazy, formerly associated with him in the promotion of championship fights and Tower Universal, to talk to Garfield and Roen about their relationship with his co-defendant, Gottesman.

Just as Cohn had business dealings in Las Vegas, however, some among his Las Vegas acquaintances came to have dealings in Cohn's ventures.

When Cohn took over Lionel Corp. in 1959, Eli Boyer was included in the group that borrowed a needed \$900,000 from banks in Hong Kong and Panama. Boyer was made a director.

Paul M. Hughes, only 31 at the time, who had worked for Pasternak and Garfield from 1956 to 1959 in one of their promotions, was hired by Cohn as executive assistant for administration at \$24,000 a year.

World-Wide

ROY COHN WAS INDICTED by a Federal grand jury on perjury charges.

Cohn, a New York attorney and business executive, denied all the charges, which he termed "trumped up." There were eight counts in the indictment, charging perjury, conspiracy to obstruct justice and conspiracy to commit perjury. Cohn, 36, was formerly chief counsel for the late Sen. Joseph McCarthy's investigating committee. His indictment is an outgrowth of the \$5 million United Dye & Chemical Corp. stock-fraud case, U.S. Attorney Robert Morgenthau said.

Specifically, Cohn is charged with trying to shield four men from being indicted in a 1959 grand jury inquiry into the United Dye case. The four weren't indicted then, but two years later another grand jury indicted them. They subsequently pleaded guilty.

...

CLIPPING FROM THE

NY Well Post Journal

EDITION

DATE 8-2-63

PAGE 1

FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION ✓

58-1232-816

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
SEP 9 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	

22


b7c

[REDACTED]

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

The Characters In the Cohn Case

ALEXANDER L. GUTERMA, 48, convicted swindler and one-time financial czar, was released from jail May 6 after serving a little more than three years of a five-year sentence for fraud involving the F. L. Jacobs Co., his onetime holding company for vast financial interests. He was the government's chief witness in the United Dye and Chemical Corp. fraud trial in federal court. He



ALEXANDER GUTERMA

was given a five-year suspended sentence and placed on five years probation for stock fraud involving United Dye and Chemical. He had pleaded guilty in 1961 to the United Dye and three other indictments involving frauds exceeding \$10,000,000. Besides United Dye, the companies involved were Consolidated American Industries and Shawano Development Corp.

\$5,000,000. He pleaded guilty to a charge that he had conspired to sell 37,500 illegal shares of United Dye & Chemical Corp. The government also charged that Garfield paid a \$100,000 bribe to the late Sen. George A. Bender (R-Ohio) to block the SEC investigation that led to the stock fraud trial.

DANIEL J. DRISCOLL, named as a co-conspirator but not as a defendant in the Cohn indictment, is Cohn's partner in the law firm of Saxe, Bacon & O'Shea, 593 Madison Av.

ALLARD ROEN, manager and part owner of the Desert Inn and the Stardust Hotel in Las Vegas, pleaded guilty last year to one count of an indictment charging conspiracy to make deals in the stock of United Dye and Chemical Corp. and pegging the price of the common stock in violation of SEC rules.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

46 NEW YORK POST

Date: 9/5/63
Edition: LATE CITY
Author:
Editor: DOROTHY SCHIFF
Title: MORTON ROBSON FORMER
AUSA SDNY; ROY COHN
UNDER INVESTIGATION
Character: BRIBERY
or
Classification: BU 58-5100
Submitting Office: NYO

58-1233-817

H fi

67C

AULAN R. SWANN, 70, Evansville, Ind., attorney, pleaded guilty last year to a single count of a 30-count indictment in connection with dealings in United Dye and Chemical Corp. stock. He admitted deals to buy company stocks with the aim of fixing its price in violation of SEC rules.

MORTON SYLVAN ROBSON, 40, former Chief Asst. U. S. Attorney here, was born on E. 7th St. and decided when he was 7, to be a lawyer. He entered CCNY at the age of 15, working his way as a waiter, by pushing a garment center hand truck and later delivering ad copy. He later entered the Signal Corps as a private and emerged at the end of World War II as a first lieutenant. Before going into the U. S. Attorney's office, he clerked in a law office, managed a legal printing firm and practiced law with his brother. He was the successful prosecutor of Frank Costello and the unsuccessful attorney against Rep. Adam Clayton Powell in Powell's income tax evasion trial.

IRVING PASTERNAK, 48, one-time oil promoter described recently as the "leading builder" of homes in Denver, was sentenced to 2½ years in prison and fined \$30,000 June 5 for participating in two stock swindles in which the public lost \$9,000,000. He had pleaded guilty to the sale of unregistered common stock of the United Dye and Chemical Corp. and also to another indictment charging stock fraud in the sale of stock of the Shawano Development Corp.

SAMUEL S. GARFIELD, 63, Clare, Mich., oil promoter, named as a co-conspirator but not a defendant with Cohn, was one of 12 defendants accused of stock fraud conspiracy last year in what the government called a scheme to defraud the public of

MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN, 36, an attorney who lives at 70 E. 10th St. and has offices at 217 Broadway, was indicted with Cohn. He said yesterday that "I don't know what it's all about." He said he had no business connection with Cohn and had never been associated with the companies involved in the case. He said he had known Cohn since the 1952 treason trial of John David Provo, U. S. Army sergeant who was charged with

MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN

treasonable dealings with the Japanese during World War II. Gottesman was Provo's court-appointed defense lawyer and Cohn was an assistant U. S. Attorney.

WILLIAM D. FUGAZY, 39, and Roy Cohn organized Feature Sports Inc. in 1959 to buy out the Patterson-Johansson return-bout contract from Rosensohn Enterprises. In 1961 Cohn announced that he and Fugazy were withdrawing from active management in the fight promotion firm but would retain their financial interest. Fugazy is also president of the Fugazy Travel Bureau. A 1945 graduate of Cornell, he served as a lieutenant in Naval Intelligence during World War II and during the occupation of Japan was in charge of mine-clearing at Nagasaki and Sasebo.

SAMUEL LITT, named a co-conspirator but not a defendant with Cohn, is Cohn's accountant and head of the accounting firm of Litt, Sanger and Levine, 11 W. 47th St. Also named as co-conspirators but not defendants were his son, Bruce, and Stanley Ellenbogen, a partner in the firm who has been associated with it for 15 years.

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Cohn Dares Morgenthau To Prosecute Personally

Roy M. Cohn, indicted yesterday on perjury and conspiracy charges, today challenged United States Attorney Robert M. Morgenthau to "back up his charges by personally appearing as the prosecutor at the trial."

"Mr. Morgenthau's accusations are false and deliberately contrived," Cohn said in a statement handed to reporters in the U.S. Court House at Foley Square.

"The fact is that Mr. Morgenthau and company have abused the power of their office.

Attacks Indictment

"They have misused public funds. They have sought perjured testimony. Their conduct has been unbecoming to federal officers and demeaning to members of the bar.

"I invite a public investigation, under independent auspices, of the facts which I am prepared to document."

Cohn, 36, went to the courthouse to plead innocent to yesterday's 10-count indictment.

He and another lawyer were accused of conspiring four years ago to prevent the indictment of four men in a stock fraud case. Cohn was also charged with perjury before the grand jury which handed up yesterday's indictment.

Cohn Fingerprinted

U. S. District Judge Dudley B. Bonsal heard Cohn's plea and released Cohn in his own recognizance. At Morgenthau's request, Bonsal ordered Cohn fingerprinted. No trial date was set. Both sides were given 30 days to file motions.

More than 200 persons milled around the courthouse steps as Cohn arrived. "This is the biggest crowd since the Army-McCarthy hearings," said Cohn.

Cohn's written statement cited "11 instances" which, he said, "will conclusively establish."

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

1 NEW YORK WORLD
TELEGRAM AND THE SUN

Date: 9/5/63
Edition: 7 SPORTS
Author:
Editor: RICHARD D. PETERS
Title: MORTON ROBSON FORMER
AUSA SDNY ; ROY COHN
UNDER INVESTIGATION
Character: BRIBERY
or
Classification: BU 58-5100
Submitting Office: NYO

58-1232-818

67C # #

lish that the charges against me result solely from personal animus, the desire for political revenge, and an attempt to pander to the long-standing prejudice of his [Morgenthau's] superiors."

This was seen as a reflection to Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy. Cohn and Kennedy have feuded ever since Cohn served as majority counsel to the late Sen. Joseph R. McCarthy's investigating subcommittee a decade ago and Kennedy was his minority counsel. When the subcommittee finally asked Cohn to resign, Kennedy replaced him.

Many of the "instances" in Cohn's statement mentioned individuals who were not identified by name. In one, Cohn charged that Morgenthau "hired an international bounty hunter to go out and 'get something on Roy Cohn.'"

Decries Actions

"This special employee," said Cohn, "was financed in much of his activities both here and abroad by Mr. Morgenthau's office out of public funds."

Cohn said he had been hounded by federal officials and added:

"As part of the program of harassment, the Internal Revenue Service was ordered to join the chase . . . The confusion that developed from these rival attempts to get something on me resulted in the Internal Revenue Service ac-

tually serving a subpoena on June 19, 1963, on Mr. Morgenthau's office to get my records."

Case Is Cited

Cohn told reporters that the U.S. Attorney's office had repeatedly pressured persons under investigation or in prison by telling them: "Give something on Roy Cohn and you can walk out free."

Said Cohn:

"In a prominent case recently concluded, Mr. Morgenthau obtained the sentencing of all the defendants except those from whom he sought to extract something unfavorable concerning me. To whip these defendants in line, he 'deferred' their sentences with promises of leniency if they play his game, and threats of long jail terms if they do not."

Pressure Alleged


"Word has spread through federal detention headquarters in this area that any prisoner willing to tell a story implicating me could look forward to mitigation of his sentence, or perhaps even to freedom."

"Witnesses have been called into Mr. Morgenthau's office and threatened with being included, in some way, in the indictment, unless they would give suggested answers concerning me."

Vendetta Charged

"Immunity has been promised to gangsters and racketeers in order to get perjured evidence against me."

Cohn asserted that Morgenthau's "vendetta" against him can be traced to an investigation made in the early 1950s by a committee headed by Sen. Karl E. Mundt. Cohn was committee counsel, he said, during an investigation involving Morgenthau's father, Henry Morgenthau Jr.



- Roy Cohn arrives at federal court. -

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Cohn to Enter Denial Of Perjury Charge

Accused of lying to a federal grand jury and conspiring to obstruct justice, Roy M. Cohn goes into the United States Court House in Foley Square today to "formally enter my denial."

Within minutes of the handing up of a recount indictment yesterday, the 35-year-old lawyer, financier and sports promoter charged that he had been the victim of an "official vendetta."

Controversial Figure

Cited along with Cohn, who rose to national prominence and controversy as chief counsel to Sen. Joseph R. McCarthy's investigations subcommittee, was attorney Murray E. Gottesman, 56, who said he

the earliest moment to prove my innocence."

If found guilty, Cohn would face up to 40 years' imprisonment and a \$30,000 fine, Gottesman 15 years in jail and a \$14,000 fine.

The government's case centers on alleged efforts by the two lawyers to keep four men from being indicted in 1955 on stock fraud charges in the manipulation of 575,000 shares of United Dye and Chemical Corp. The public lost more than \$7 million the government claims.

The Plays Role

The Securities and Exchange Commission had recommended prosecution of the four—Samuel S. Garfield, Irving Pasternak, Allard Roen and Allen K. Swann—but the 1959 grand jury failed to indict. U.S. Attorney Robert M. Morgenthau said they were indicted two years later by another federal panel investigating the tangled case.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

3 NEW YORK WORLD
TELEGRAM AND THE SUN

Date: 9/5/63
Edition: METRO
Author:
Editor: RICHARD D. PETERS
Title: MORTON ROBSON FORMER
AUSA SDNY; ROY COHN
UNDER INVESTIGATION
Character: BRIBERY
or
Classification: BU 58-5100
Submitting Office: NYO

58-1232-819

67C

Cohn Is Charged With Conspiracy, Perjury in United Dye Fraud Case

He Denies 'Scheme' to Bribe Official and Bar Indictment Of 4 Las Vegas Associates

By ED CONY

Staff Reporter of THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

NEW YORK—Roy M. Cohn was indicted by a Federal grand jury here on eight counts charging perjury, conspiracy to obstruct justice and conspiracy to commit perjury.

He immediately denied all the charges, which he termed "trumped up." Mr. Cohn, 36 years old, is a New York attorney and business executive. He was formerly chief counsel for the late Sen. McCarthy's investigating committee.

The indictment is an outgrowth of the \$5 million United Dye & Chemical Corp. stock-fraud case, according to U.S. Attorney Robert Morgenthau. He said it grew out of an investigation into a possible conspiracy to bribe public officials and corruptly obstruct justice in the 1959 Federal grand jury inquiry into the United Dye case.

Specifically, the indictment charges that Mr. Cohn "entered into a scheme" whereby four individuals "would not be named as defendants in any indictment that might be voted" by the 1959 grand jury. Three of those Mr. Cohn allegedly conspired to shield—Samuel S. Garfield, Irving Pasternak and Alard Roen—were top members of a gambling group who ran the Desert Inn, a luxurious Las Vegas hotel and casino. The fourth, Allen K. Swann, was an attorney for the three Las Vegas gamblers.

All Pledged Guilty in Stock Fraud

None of the four was indicted by the 1959 grand jury, but all four were indicted two years later by another grand jury for participating in the United Dye Stock fraud, and they all subsequently pleaded guilty.

Indicted along with Mr. Cohn was Murray E. Gottesman, also a New York lawyer, who also denied all the charges. It is alleged that Mr. Gottesman contacted the then Chief Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, Morton Robson, "to effectuate the arrangement" allegedly made between Mr. Cohn and Mr. Garfield to keep Messrs. Garfield, Pasternak, Roen and Swann out of any indictment.

CLIPPING FROM THE

NY Wall Street Journal

EDITION _____

DATE 9-5-63

PAGE 2

FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION _____

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION Y

58-1232-820

221

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
SEP 9 1963	
NEW YORK	

67C

The grand jury that returned the indictment has been in session for 17 months looking into possible bribery attempts in the United Dye case. The indictment it returned yesterday named along with Messrs. Cohn and Gottesman four "co-conspirators but not defendants." They are: Mr. Garfield, Daniel J. Driscoll, a law partner of Mr. Cohn's in the firm of Saxe, Bacon & O'Shea; and Samuel Litt, Bruce Litt and Stanley Ellenbogen, all members of Litt, Singer & Levine, a certified public accounting firm.

The grand jury charged that for the past 15 months the two defendants and the five co-conspirators conspired to give false testimony to the grand jury and agreed they would "influence, intimidate and impede" other witnesses who came before the grand jury.

Mr. Morgenthau explained that the grand jury charged Mr. Cohn "caused threats to be communicated to Garfield and Room" and that he tried "to cause another witness, William D. Fugary," to testify falsely. Mr. Fugary was a former associate of Mr. Cohn's in heavy weight boxing promotions. Both Mr. Fugary and Ed Boyer, an accountant and a Las Vegas business associate of Mr. Cohn's, threatened Mr.

Room at Mr. Cohn's behest, the indictment charged.

If convicted on all counts, Mr. Cohn could receive a maximum sentence of 40 years' imprisonment and a fine of \$36,000. Mr. Gottesman could get 15 years and a \$14,000 fine.

Gamblers' Role in United Dye Case

The United Dye case attracted wide interest among businessmen because it demonstrated how a group of Nevada gamblers moved in on a legitimate corporation listed on the New York Stock Exchange and assertedly defrauded investors.

After an 11-month trial, the United Dye case went to a jury in February 1963. The jury found five defendants guilty, including Virgil Dardi, who was president of United Dye in 1955 and 1956. Mr. Dardi and these other defendants are appealing the verdict. During the trial nine other defendants pleaded guilty and a tenth pleaded no contest.

The Government's case of stock fraud in United Dye was essentially this: Control of United Dye was gained in 1955 by Alexander Guterman, a financier who later went to jail for stock shenanigans in another company. Guterman shared his control of United Dye with two principal partners from the Desert Inn group—Mr. Garfield and Mr. Pasternak. The Guterman-Garfield-Pasternak team, with help from others, created large amounts of United Dye stock, which they arranged to have sold to the public through high-pressure "bucket shops" at inflated prices. They failed to register this stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Bribery Charged at Trial

During the trial Gerald Walpin and Donald J. Cohn, the Government prosecutors, made a sensational charge of official bribery. They said the late Sen. George Bender of Ohio had been paid \$100,000 to quash an investigation into the United Dye stock fraud.

During and after the United Dye trial, rumors circulated widely that other prominent public figures had allegedly been involved in a bribery attempt in connection with the case.

During the trial itself Judge William Herlands ordered attorneys to stay away from certain areas in questioning one relatively minor witness. In his chambers, out of earshot of the jury, the judge told the attorneys he was concerned about their "showing or attempting to show the witness is involved or may be involved in the commission of criminal acts involving bribery or obstruction of justice." The judge's statement is part of the official trial record.

The name of Mr. Cohn frequently cropped up in the rumors of official bribery. Yesterday he charged persecution at the hands of Mr. Morgenthau. He said, "The trumped-up charges by Robert Morgenthau and company are welcomed by me. This may seem strange to say, but it is not when viewed in light of their two-year campaign of slander, managed news leaks, harassment and highhanded tactics." He said he would appear in court today to deny the charges in the indictment.

In February Mr. Cohn made similar charges of harassment when Wall Street Journal reporters asked him about the rumors of bribery. He forcefully denied the rumors and said Mr. Morgenthau and a high Justice Department official in Washington were harassing him on motives of personal revenge. He also denied reports he had been called to testify before the grand jury.

Called Before Grand Jury

In March and April of this year Mr. Cohn was called before the grand jury. Yesterday's indictment charged he lied on both occasions. In his April appearance he was asked if he had a message sent to Morris Dalitz in Europe in June 1962. He denied it, and the indictment charges this denial was false.

Mr. Dalitz is a top official of the Desert Inn group in Las Vegas. Mr. Cohn and Mr. Dalitz are acquaintances and have been business associates in a private hospital in Las Vegas.

Mr. Cohn's business career began in a big way fewer than four years ago. In 1960, at age 32, he became chairman of the board of

Indict Cohn as Perjurer

Roy M. Cohn, who achieved prominence as counsel for the late Sen. Joseph McCarthy's investigating committee, was indicted by a Federal grand jury yesterday on charges of perjury and conspiracy to obstruct justice.

The 10-count indictment charged Cohn, 36, and lawyer Murray E. Gottesman, 56, were part of a 1959 plot to balk prosecution of four manipulators of United Dye and Chemical Corp. stock. The public lost \$5,000,000 as a result of the manipulations.

THE JURY ACCUSED Cohn of inducing one of the four financiers to "falsely recant" testimony given to a Federal grand jury and attempting to persuade another witness to give false testimony. Cohn and Gottesman were accused of perjuring themselves before the jury which indicted them.

U.S. Attorney Robert M. Morgenthau said the grand jury was investigating to determine

whether there had been a conspiracy to bribe any public official in the United Dye case.

Cohn immediately denied the charges in a statement issued from his 596 Madison Ave. office.

"This is the work of a vengeful and frustrated man," he said. "The trumped-up charges by Robert Morgenthau and company are in fact welcomed by me. This may seem strange to say, but it is not when viewed in light of their two-year campaign of slander, managed news leaks, harassment and high-handed tactics.

"I now have the opportunity of bringing all of this out into the open. I will prove the falsity of these charges and expose a rank misuse of the machinery of justice for personal revenge and retaliation."

Cohn, who lives at 1165 Park Ave., will appear before Federal Judge William B. Herlands at 10:30 a.m. today. He will plead not guilty, he said.

"Following this, I will make a

CLIPPING FROM THE
N.Y. MIRROR

NY

EDITION

DATE

PAGE

FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

SF-1232-821

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FBI - NEW YORK	

b7c

full and detailed statement concerning this 'official' vendetta."

GOTTESMAN, of 70 E. 10th St., also denied the charges and asked he be given a chance "at the earliest moment" to prove his innocence.

Conviction on the multiple charges could result in 40 years' imprisonment and a \$36,000 fine for Cohn, and 15 years and a \$14,000 fine for Gottesman.

The jury which indicted Cohn and Gottesman had been sitting since March, 1962. It was dismissed by Federal Judge Dudley B. Bonsal with thanks for its "long" and "faithful" service.

The indictment said that in February, 1959, the Securities and Exchange Commission recommended that a grand jury study the United Dye stock manipulations. The SEC recommended indictments be sought against several persons including Samuel Garfield and Irving Pasternak, both identified with Nevada gambling interests; Allard Roen of

Las Vegas and Allen K. Swann.

The indictment charged that in July and August, 1959, Cohn conspired with Garfield to arrange that Garfield, Pasternak, Roen and Swann would not be named in any indictment.

Cohn had Gottesman get in touch with the then Chief Assistant U.S. Attorney Morton S. Robson, the indictment continued. The 1959 grand jury indicted several men, but Garfield, Pasternak, Roen and Swann were not among them. No further reference to Robson was made in the indictment.

DURING THE TRIAL of the indicted men, the government charged the late Sen. George Bender (R.-O.) took a \$100,000 bribe in a vain attempt in 1957 to kill the SEC probe of United Dye stock deals.

In July, 1961, another grand jury indicted Garfield, Pasternak, Roen and Swann and all four ultimately pleaded guilty.

The indictment said Cohn in-



Roy Cohn . . . blames woe on "official vendetta."

duced Garfield to "falsely recant" testimony he gave and also attempted to induce his onetime business partner in boxing promotion, William D. Fugazy, to testify falsely before the 1959 grand jury.

Cohn Charges Plot, Answers U.S. Today

By NORMA ABRAMS and HENRY LEE

Roy M. Cohn, attorney-financier-sports promoter, was indicted yesterday on a charge of lying before a federal grand jury and tampering with witnesses—but he came back fighting.

The brash 36-year-old, once chief counsel to the McCarthy Senate investigating committee, charged angrily that he was the victim of an "official vendetta."

Just a half hour after the 10-count indictment—which also named another prominent lawyer, Murray E. Gottesman, 56—was handed up to Federal Judge Dudley B. Bonsal, at 11:55 A.M., Cohn fired off by messenger to the Federal Building pressroom word that he would not wait until next Wednesday to plead to the charges.

U.S. Attorney Robert M. Morgenthau had told the judge the two attorneys would appear then. Cohn said he will appear in court at 10:30 A.M. today.

Will Deny for Court, Press

At that time, said Cohn, he will "formally enter my denial." And, he added, he will hold a noon press conference afterward.

Cohn was indicted on three perjury counts and four counts of endeavoring to obstruct justice by interfering with other witnesses—in one case through threats.

Cohn said he will "make a full and detailed statement concerning this 'official vendetta'—

CLIPPING FROM THE

NY

DAILY NEWS

EDITION

Final
SEP 5 1963

DATE

PAGE

FORM PDED BY NY DIVISION

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

58-1232-822

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FBI—NEW YORK	

67c



Roy M. Cohn
Accused on seven counts



U. S. Attorney Morgenthau
... "and company"

which he characterized as "the work of a vengeful and frustrated man."

"The trumped-up charges by Robert Morgenthau and company are in fact welcomed by me," he

asserted. "This may seem strange to say, but it is not when viewed in light of their two-year campaign of slander, managed news leaks, harassment and high-handed tactics.

Sees Opportunity

"I now have the opportunity of bringing all of this out into the open. I will prove the falsity of these charges and expose a rank misuse of the machinery of justice for personal revenge and retaliation."

The indictment was a by-product of the long government investigation into the complicated wheeling and dealing in United Bye and Chemical Corp. stock,

masterminded by convicted swindler Alexander L. Guterman.

Back in 1956, SEC began probing manipulation of the stock and assertedly uncovered a \$5 million stock fraud. Three years later, SEC recommended to the U.S. attorney here that several persons be indicted. Among those nominated were the following:

Samuel S. Garfield, 63, of Clare, Mich., self-described oil producer; Irving Pasternak, 48, Colorado oil operator and builder; Allard Roen, 42, a partner in Las Vegas inns, and Allen K. Swann, 70, an Indiana lawyer.

In the summer of 1959, a grand jury investigation got under way, but during that July and August, yesterday's indictment charged, Cohn assertedly made a deal with Garfield that neither he nor the other three would be named in any indictment returned by the jury.

"In order to effectuate the agreement made between Cohn and Garfield," Morgenthau said, "Cohn contacted defendant Gottesman, who thereafter contacted Morton S. Robson, then chief assistant United States attorney for the Southern District of New York."

When the jury completed its investigation and voted an indictment on Aug. 25, Garfield, Pasternak, Roen and Swann were not named.

Interim U.S. Attorney

Robson, who later served as an interim U.S. attorney, left office April 18, 1961, when Morgenthau was appointed. Three months later, in a superseding indictment, the four were named, all subsequently pleading guilty.

Thereafter, in April of 1962, the grand jury opened an investigation "for the purpose of determining whether any persons engaged in a conspiracy to bribe any public official and corruptly to influence and obstruct the due administration of justice in the grand jury investigation" of 1959, yesterday's indictment explained.

It was during this 17-month probe that Cohn and Gottesman assertedly perjured themselves and Cohn tampered with other witnesses.

Fugazy Mentioned

According to the indictment, Cohn falsely denied before the grand jury that he had asked his onetime associate in boxing promotion, William D. Fugazy, to speak to Garfield and Roen about

their relationship with his co-defendant, Gottesman. Cohn and Fugazy promoted the 1960-61 Patterson-Johansson world heavyweight title fights.

Cohn was accused also of falsely denying that he had caused a message to be sent to Moe B. Dalitz, an officer in the Desert Inn, Las Vegas, asking that he return immediately from Europe in June of last year.

"Recanted Falsely"

The third perjury count set forth that Cohn described an entirely fictitious meeting he said he had attended back in 1959. At this session, according to Cohn, Garfield and Swann talked with Gottesman about the United Dye mess, then under investigation.

Two additional counts of endeavoring to suppress justice were based on charges that Cohn caused Fugazy to give false testimony and Garfield to recant "falsely" earlier truthful testimony.

In the general conspiracy count, five men were named with Cohn and Gottesman as co-conspirators but not as defendants. They were:

Daniel J. Driscoll, a partner in Saxe, Bacon & O'Shea, a law firm at 598 Madison Ave. headed by Cohn; Samuel Litt, Cohn's accountant and head of Litt, Sanger & Levine, 11 W. 47th St.; his son, Bruce Litt; Stanley

Ellanbogen, a partner in the accounting firm, and Garfield.

Could Get 40 Years

If convicted, Cohn faces a maximum sentence of 40 years in prison and a \$36,000 fine. Gottesman would face a 15-year maximum sentence, plus a \$14,000 fine.

Like Cohn, Gottesman, who has offices at 217 Broadway, issued a statement denying "anything wrong or improper" and promising to ask "an opportunity at the earliest moment" to refute these unfounded charges.

Cohn, who lives at 1165 Park Ave., was an assistant U.S. attorney at the age of 21, confidential assistant to the U.S. attorney at 23, special assistant to the U.S. Attorney General at 25 and chief counsel to the McCarthy committee at 26.

After leaving government service in 1954, he engaged in private practice and three years ago gained control of Lionel Corp., becoming board chairman. He also was in the syndicate that took over Fifth Ave. Coach Corp. but recently resigned.

A YOUNG MAN IN A HURRY

A lot of people have said a lot of things about Roy Marcus Cohn—"young man in a hurry," "braash kid," "arrogant." The late Sen. Joseph R. McCarthy called him "the most brilliant man I have ever been in contact with."

But while the assessments of Mr. Cohn may vary, there's little doubt that he has packed a lot of activity into his relatively short life span—a boy wonder at law, a much publicized Communist-chaser, a wheeler and dealer in the complex world of industry and high finance, a fight promoter, and then yesterday: an indictment charging him with perjury and conspiracy to obstruct justice.

At 36, Mr. Cohn is a sleek, somewhat stocky man with deceptively sleepy-looking hazel eyes. But there was nothing sleepy or lethargic about his rise to prominence.

Son of the late Justice Albert Cohn of the Appellate Division, Roy Cohn breezed through Columbia College and Columbia Law School so swiftly that he held degrees from both at 19 and had to

wait two years before he was old enough to be admitted to the bar.

During the two-year wait, he worked as a \$1,700-a-year clerk-typist in the office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York—the same office which obtained the indictment against him yesterday.

On his 21st birthday, Mr. Cohn was admitted to the bar and became an Assistant U. S. Attorney, then rose to confidential assistant to the U. S. Attorney.

His court record was impressive as he built up a long list of successful prosecutions of counterfeiters, narcotics peddlers and other run-of-court cases. He played a leading role in the prosecution of "second-string" Communists and assisted in the prosecution of atom spies Julius and Ethel Rosenberg.

He moved on to Washington as a special assistant to the then U. S. Attorney General James P. McGranery in 1952, and a year later became counsel to Sen. McCarthy's investigating committee.

CLIPPING FROM THE

NY

EDITION

DATE

PAGE

FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION ~~XXXXXX~~

58-1232-823

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
22	11
FBI—NEW YORK	

b7c

It was his job with the McCarthy committee that thrust Mr. Cohn into world headlines. He teamed up with another youngster on the committee staff, hotel heir G. David Schine, for a whirlwind 18-day tour to investigate U. S. Information Agency activities in Europe. They were tagged in the press as "snoopers" and "junketeering

gumshoes." Sen. Mike Monroney, D., Okla., called Mr. Cohn a "Keystone Cop." The trip, snorted the London Times, was a "grotesque voyage."

There was more controversy. When Mr. Schine was drafted into the Army, Mr. Cohn persuaded the Pentagon to give his buddy preferential treatment, with such things as passes and exemption from kitchen police. Army officials charged that Mr. Cohn had threatened them with reprisals and at one point had vowed to "wreck" the Army.

That precipitated what became known as the Army-McCarthy hearings, and in 1954 Mr. Cohn left the committee to enter private law practice in New York. At the time one columnist called him "the youngest has-been since Jackie Coogan."

A time of relative, although prosperous, obscurity followed, but in recent years Mr. Cohn's name has cropped up often in the financial and sports pages.

He became involved in a number of corporate activities, including Lionel Corp., a toy train maker, and Fifth Avenue Coach Co. He also helped to promote the Floyd Patterson and Ingemar Johansson world heavyweight title bouts.

Since 1959 Mr. Cohn has been a partner in the Madison Ave. law firm of Saxe, Bacon & O'Shea. He also has taught criminal law at the New York Law School, and is a captain in the New York Army National Guard. He is a trustee of the Roy M. Cohn Foundation.

He lives with his mother in a spacious apartment at 1165 Park Ave. He is unmarried.

PROSPERS IN ENTERPRISES

By Dennis Duggan
Of The Herald Tribune Staff

Roy Cohn's business career has been marked by the same flamboyance and urgency that made him an enfant terrible during the early 1950's as the boy prosecutor of American Communists.

His indictment yesterday by a Federal Grand Jury on charges of conspiring to obstruct justice and commit perjury, follows a brief but action-packed span as a financial wheeler-dealer that began in 1959 when he led a small group in a successful takeover of Lionel Corp.

In the ensuing years, Mr. Cohn, now 38, has become involved in the following activities: A New York City bus line; a small loan company, as big national travel agency; insurance concerns, a swimming pool company. He also found time to plunge into the sports world promoting two championship boxing matches (Patterson-Johansson and last fall's first Patterson-Liston quickie).

In almost all these endeavors, Mr. Cohn has displayed an ability to get his name into the headlines. He is strongly opinionated and highly impatient, moving quickly from one business deal to another, letting his associates attend to details. Mr. Cohn has prospered personally since getting into the world of finance. His income has been estimated at \$250,000 a year, a figure he says is "not far off."

But the companies he has been associated with have not fared as well. Lionel, which Mr. Cohn took over in 1959, earned \$1.4 million in 1960, Cohn's first full year with

the company. But in the years since, the toy train maker has been a heavy loser. It ran in the red \$2.5 million in 1961. In 1962, it lost \$4.8 million. The first six months of this year it has lost \$154,000.

Mr. Cohn, who was board chairman of the company for three years, was ousted as chairman in May when a group headed by Victor Muscat, took control. He was, however, named executive committee chairman.

This was just one in a series of resignations by Mr. Cohn from high corporate posts. Last December, he resigned as chairman and director of Tower Universal Corp., a diversified holding company. His resignation followed sale of his 50,000 shares to a group headed by I. Jerome Riker who is now president.

Riker had headed a group that included Mr. Cohn which bought 45 per cent of Tower in April, 1961. Tower, which traded at a high of 14 on the American Stock Exchange in 1961, sank to a low of 5 in 1962. It closed yesterday at 3 1/2.

Mr. Cohn resigned from his position as chairman of the executive and finance committees of Fifth Avenue Coach late last month. Mr. Cohn and Harry Weinberg, a Dallas transit man, took over Fifth Avenue Coach in February, 1962. Soon after this corporate coup, the company was hit by a strike of bus drivers and the struck line was seized by the City of New York.

Mr. Cohn stands to reap considerable profit from this venture whenever a settle-

CLIPPING FROM THE

NY

Herald Tribune

EDITION

LATE CITY

DATE

9/5/62

PAGE

39

FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION ☒

58-1232-824

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
22	11
FBI - NEW YORK	

67C

~~amount~~ between the city and Saxe, the amount of which is now being disputed in court, is arrived at. The city is offering \$18 million. The company seeks \$90 million. Mr. Cohn holds an estimated 12,000 shares at a cost per share of about \$15 to \$15. The stock closed yesterday on the New York Stock Exchange at 33 1/4.

In his boxing ventures, Mr. Cohn has been plagued by litigation with both private interests and the government. Under the name Feature Sports, Mr. Cohn and William Fugazy, whose Fugazy Travel Agency was acquired and then sold by Tower Universal, promoted the Patterson-Johansson fights of 1960 and 1961. The promoters were sued by Mr. Fugazy's uncle, Jack Fugazy, who contended he never got a 25 per cent slice of profits due him. The case was settled recently but the amount of the settlement was not disclosed.

Last fall's Patterson-Lis-ton fight was promoted by Championship Sports, a corporation formed by Mr. Cohn and Thomas Bolan, his law partner. The Internal Revenue Service emerged as the big winner confiscating the receipts of about \$2 million. It has released some of the money but still holds about \$500,000.

Mr. Cohn whose future as a practicing lawyer is now in serious jeopardy, is said to be worth about \$2 million today as a result of his profitable forays into the corporate world. He credits his law practice (he is a partner in the firm of Saxe, Bacon & O'Shea) for most of his wealth.

U.S. Indicts Roy Cohn as A Perjurer

By John G. Rogers
Of The Herald Tribune Staff

Roy M. Cohn, 36, the boy wonder lawyer who shot to national fame as the late Sen. Joseph R. McCarthy's ace investigator, was indicted yesterday for perjury and conspiring to obstruct justice.

A Federal grand jury in New York's Southern District named Mr. Cohn and Murray E. Gottesman, 56, a Manhattan attorney, as defendants in a long and complicated set of accusations tracing back to a 1959 stock fraud case.

The two men were charged with testifying falsely in a grand jury investigation of the United Dye and Chemical Co. stock swindle which amounted to \$5 million and resulted in the longest trial in Federal court history.

In addition, the government said that Mr. Cohn—himself a former Assistant United States Attorney—tried to induce or threaten other witnesses to mislead the grand jurors with falsehoods.

Mr. Cohn—an oft-publicized man who has recently been both a fight promoter and in the thick of controversy over control of the Fifth Ave. Coach Corp.—issued a denial yesterday which struck at United States Attorney Robert M. Morgenthau.



Herald Tribune—RICH
Roy M. Cohn

CLIPPING FROM THE

NY Herald Tribune

EDITION Extra City

DATE 9/5/63

PAGE 29

FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION ☒

58-1232-825

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
221	11
FBI—NEW YORK	

b7c

"This is the work of a vengeful and frustrated man," the Cohn statement said. It continued:

"The trumped-up charges by Robert Morgenthau and company are in fact welcomed by me. This may seem strange to say but it is not when viewed in light of their two-year campaign of slander, managed news leaks, harassment and high-handed tactics. 'I will prove the falsity of these charges and expose a rank misuse of the machinery of justice for personal revenge and retaliation.'"

Mr. Cohn went on to say that he would formally answer the charges at 10:30 a. m. today in the United States Court House in Foley Square — this despite the fact that the government had scheduled the pleadings for next Wednesday.

Mr. Gottesman also issued

a denial yesterday stating:

"I haven't seen the indictment. I know that I have not done anything wrong or improper, and I shall ask the court to give me an opportunity at the earliest moment to prove my innocence and refute these unfounded charges."

Mr. Cohn, who lives at 1165 Park Ave. and was named in eight substantive counts, could receive a maximum sentence on conviction of 40 years in prison and fine of \$36,000.

Mr. Gottesman, who lives at 70 E. 10th St. and was named in three substantive counts, could receive 15 years and be fined \$14,000.

Federal Judge Dudley B. Bonsal, to whom the indictment was handed yesterday by the March, 1962, grand jury, personally thanked the jurors for their long service:

"I am touched by that, and your doing a great job for your country and your government. It has never been my privilege to say good bye to a jury which has served as long and faithfully as you."

The indictment said that in February, 1959, the Securities and Exchange Commission forwarded to the United States Attorney's office here a criminal reference report recommending presentation to a grand jury of evidence about manipulation of United Dye and Chemical common stock.

Alexander Guterman, a high-flying financial operator subsequently indicted, had been dealing heavily in the stock.

The SEC report recommended that indictments be sought against several persons, including Samuel S. Garfield, Irving Pasternak, Allard Roen and Allen K. Swann. They are men with oil, hotel and stock promotion interests ranging from Evansville, Ind., to Las Vegas.

Yesterday's indictment said that when evidence on the United Dye and Chemical



Herald Tribune—UPI
Murray E. Gottesman

case began to be presented to a grand jury in August, 1959, Garfield and Mr. Cohn entered into a scheme for arranging that any indictment returned would not name Garfield, Pasternak, Roen and Swann.

To carry out his part, the indictment said, Mr. Cohn contacted Mr. Gottesman, who, in turn, got in touch with Morton S. Robson, then chief assistant U. S. Attorney here. The indictment made no further reference to Mr. Robson.

After the 1959 grand jury had heard evidence, it returned an indictment against Guterman and others. Garfield, Pasternak, Roen and Swann were not named as defendants—only as co-conspirators.

In the subsequent trial, Guterman was convicted of bilking the public on stock sales and sentenced to four years and 11 months in prison.

Mr. Morgenthau then began what he called a re-investigation of the case. As a result, in July, 1961, the evidence on the United Dye and Chemical stock sales was presented to another Federal grand jury and it returned indictments against the four men.

During the subsequent rec-

ord-length 11-month trial, ending last February, the four pleaded guilty. Guterman was a government witness at the trial and was released from prison after it ended. Pasternak has been sentenced to two and one-half years in prison and fined \$50,000. The others await sentencing.

On April 2, 1962, a Federal grand jury set out to determine if there was any wrongdoing in connection with the 1959 grand jury which had failed to indict the four men.

Garfield and Roen appeared as witnesses before that grand jury, and yesterday's indictment said that Mr. Cohn "caused threats to be communicated to them," and also "improperly induced" Garfield to recant truthful testimony he had previously given.

In addition, the indictment said that Mr. Cohn tried to cause another witness, William D. Fugazy, to give false testimony to the 1959 grand jury. (The two men were partners in promoting the 1960 and 1961 Patterson-Johansson heavy-weight title fights.)

The government charged that Mr. Cohn and Mr. Gottesman lied before the grand jury when questioned about Mr. Cohn's getting in touch with Mr. Gottesman in 1959, and about Mr. Gottesman's subsequent actions in connection with the United Dye and Chemical stock fraud indictment.

Named yesterday as co-conspirators, but not as defendants, were the following:

Daniel J. Driscoll, a partner in Saxa, Bacon and O'Shea, the law firm in which Mr. Cohn is a partner; Samuel Litt, Mr. Cohn's accountant and the head of the accounting firm of Litt, Sanger and Levine, of 11 W. 47th St.; Bruce Litt, his son; Stanley Allanbogen, a partner in the accounting firm; and Garfield, a Denver oil man.

Embattled Boy Wonder

Roy Marcus Cohn

BOY wonder, brilliant lawyer, fight promoter, hunter of Communists, businessman, reputed investor in a Las Vegas gambling club, perennially eligible bachelor, "precocious," "arrogant," wheeler and dealer — all this, and more, makes up the picture of Roy Marcus Cohn at

the age of 36.
 Man Now this volatile
 in the young man, who
 News has a penchant
 for what he calls
 "the sweet deal."

finds himself indicted on Federal charges of perjury and obstruction of justice in connection with an investigation of a stock fraud case.

When his case comes to trial, and if he takes the stand, Mr. Cohn's conduct will be watched with interest. For on the other side of the witness box he is cool, brilliant, incisive and frequently contemptuous.

Mr. Cohn became famous in 1953, when he joined the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations as chief counsel under the late Senator Joseph R. McCarthy. G. David Schine, son of a multimillionaire hotel operator, was an unpaid consultant to the committee. Young Schine had written a pamphlet on communism, which was placed, along with a Gideon Bible, in every room in every Schine hotel.

Grand But Brief Tour

Mr. Cohn and Mr. Schine went on a whirlwind tour of Europe, investigating United States information services abroad. The European press ridiculed them, and they returned to the United States as celebrities after having covered 10 cities and seven countries in 17 days.

Then came the Army-McCarthy hearings, an outgrowth of Mr. Schine's having been drafted. The Army



The New York Times
 Likes "the sweet deal"

CLIPPING FROM THE
 NY TIMES

NY

EDITION

Late City

DATE

SEP 5 1963

PAGE

FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

58-1232-826

221 f f

b7c

[REDACTED]

said "A precocious, brilliant, arrogant young man." He worked on many cases involving first-string and second-string Communist leaders, and associates reported he was an impressive cross-examiner.

After resigning from the McCarthy committee, Mr. Cohn returned to New York to devote all, or most, of his time to being a lawyer. Still a hero to the right wing, he disappeared into an enormously profitable private practice as principal partner in the law firm of Saxe, Bacon & O'Shea. His income is said to be in excess of \$250,000 a year.

In 1959 he and some associates took over the management of the ailing Lionel Corporation, then known chiefly for its model trains. Mr. Cohn's great-uncle, Joshua Lionel Cowen, had founded the company.

With Mr. Cohn as chairman the company went into electronics, but it still kept losing money, and earlier this year Mr. Cohn stepped down as chairman of the board.

Involved in Bus Deal

Mr. Cohn was also involved in the fight for control of Fifth Avenue Coach Lines, the bus company seized by the city last year to prevent a strike. He recently resigned as a director of the company and as chairman of two company committees.

Mr. Cohn is an associate of Feature Sports, Inc., which promoted the second Patterson-Johansson fight. He is also said to be an investor in Desert Inn Associates, which operates the Desert Inn in Las Vegas.

Much of his business is transacted by radio-telephone in a Fleetwood, Cadillac. Until recently, he owned two telephone-equipped Cadillacs, but one was seized last May by the city sheriff. This stemmed from a judgment obtained against Mr. Cohn by William Rosensohn, formerly a fight promoter, in the wake of the Patterson-Johansson fight.

Mr. Cohn frequents the Stork Club and the Colony Restaurant, where he also does much telephoning. He lives with his mother in a large Park Avenue apartment, plays golf, goes deep-sea fishing and travels abroad several times a year. He is not very active in anti-Communist causes these days.

"This is off-season on Communism," he said a couple of years ago.

accused Mr. Cohn of having tried to get preferential treatment for Mr. Schine, of having badgered Pentagon officials and of having threatened reprisals.

Mr. Cohn was accused of having vowed to "wreck" the Army by investigating it.

After 36 days of televised Senate hearings, Senator McCarthy's star began to fall; Mr. Cohn was asked to resign as chief counsel by a 4-to-3 vote of the subcommittee. He did.

During Mr. Cohn's tenure as chief counsel, a young man joined the staff as an assistant counsel. The young man, who became chief counsel after Mr. Cohn's resignation, was Robert F. Kennedy, now the Attorney General, whose Justice Department has obtained the indictment against Mr. Cohn.

Born in the Bronx

Mr. Cohn was born in the Bronx on Feb. 20, 1927. His father, Albert, who died in 1959, was a State Supreme Court justice. Roy Cohn was an only child; he played the piano, went to the Fieldston School in Riverdale, the Bronx, and breezed through Columbia College and Columbia Law School. He was 20 when he was graduated, and had to wait until he was 21 to take the bar examination.

He began as a clerk in the office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, was admitted to the bar in 1946 and became an assistant United States attorney.

He was, one observer has

Roy Cohn Indicted by U.S. As Perjurer in Stock Case

**With an Associate He Is Also Accused
of Conspiring to Obstruct Justice—
He Calls Charges a 'Vendetta'**

By EDWARD RANZAL

Roy M. Cohn, a controversial figure of national prominence since the McCarthy era, was indicted yesterday by a Federal grand jury on charges of perjury and obstruction of justice.

The 36-year-old lawyer was accused of complicity in connection with efforts to prevent the indictment of four men in a stock fraud case involving the United Dye and Chemical Corporation.

Mr. Cohn, who was chief counsel to the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations under the late Senator Joseph R. McCarthy, implied that the charges were politically motivated.

In addition to Mr. Cohn, who lives at 1165 Park Avenue, at 92d Street, the 10-count indictment also named Murray E.

Gottesman, a 56-year-old lawyer of 70 East 10th Street.

Both men quickly denied the charges, and Mr. Cohn issued the following statement:

"This is the work of a vengeful and frustrated man.

"The trumped-up charges by Robert Morgenthau [United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York] and company are in fact welcomed by me. This may seem strange to say, but it is not when viewed in the light of their two-year campaign of slander, managed news leaks, harassment and high-handed tactics.

"I now have the opportunity of bringing all of this out into the open. I will prove the falsity of these charges and expose-

CLIPPING FROM THE

NY TIMES

NY

EDITION

DATE

PAGE

FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

58-1232-827

A A

b2

rank misuse of the machinery of justice for personal revenge and retaliation.

"I shall appear at the United States Court House [at Foley Square] tomorrow at 10:30 A.M. to formally enter my denial in court, following which I shall make a full and detailed statement concerning this 'official' vendetta."

Mr. Cohn, considered by many to have a brilliant legal mind, has had a faculty over the years for making many political enemies. Considerable enmity has been reported between Mr. Cohn and Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy since Mr. Cohn served as chief counsel and Mr. Kennedy as minority counsel on the Senate committee.

Fraud Involved

The charges against Mr. Cohn and Mr. Gottesman stem from a grand jury investigation into the possibility of conspiracy to bribe public officials in the 1959 inquiry into a stock fraud case involving United Dye and Chemical.

The indictment named as co-conspirators, but not as defendants, Daniel J. Driscoll, a partner of Mr. Cohn in the law firm of Saxa, Bacon & O'Shea; Samuel Litt; his son, Bruce Litt, and Stanley Ellenbogen, accountants for Mr. Cohn; and Samuel S. Garfield, an oil promoter involved in the United Dye case.

Mr. Morgenthau said Mr. Cohn had represented Garfield prior to the United Dye indictment, and had then turned him over to Mr. Gottesman. He gave the following chronology of events leading to yesterday's indictment:

In 1956 the Securities and Exchange Commission began an investigation of the purchase, sale and manipulation of United Dye stock.

The commission was particularly interested then in the affairs of Alexander L. Gottesman, who has been convicted as a stock swindler. He was to testify for the Government in the United Dye case.

Investigation Asked

Three years later the S.E.C. forwarded a report to the United States Attorney's office recommending that a grand jury investigate and return indictments against a group of persons that included Garfield, Irving Pasternak, an oil promoter; Aaron Roen, manager of the Desert Inn in Las Vegas, Nev., and Allen K. Swann, a Midwest lawyer.

In August, 1959, the grand jury began its investigation.

About that time, the indictment alleged, Mr. Cohn entered into a scheme with Garfield to prevent the indictment of Garfield, Pasternak, Roen and Swann. Mr. Cohn was said to have arranged for Mr. Gottesman to represent Garfield.

Mr. Gottesman was said to have been in touch with Morton S. Robson, then Chief Assistant United States Attorney, "to effectuate the arrangement."

Mr. Robson was not in charge of the grand jury. He later became United States Attorney for an interim period until April, 1961, when Mr. Morgenthau took over. The indictment makes no other reference to Mr. Robson.

On Aug. 23, 1959, the grand jury voted an indictment in the United Dye matter, but the four men were not named as defendants. In July, 1961, the matter was reopened before another grand jury and a superseding indictment was returned naming eight persons, including the four not previously named.

Trial Lasts 11 Months

The trial lasted eleven months. Garfield, Roen, Swann and Pasternak pleaded guilty and the four others were convicted and sentenced. Of the four who pleaded guilty only Pasternak has been sentenced. He was given two and a half years by Federal Judge William B. Herlands. His surrender to begin serving the sentence has been adjourned indefinitely. The three others have not yet been sentenced and no date has been fixed for sentencing.

Shortly after the end of the trial the 1962 grand jury was impeached. During its investigation, Mr. Cohn appeared three times before it. Mr. Gottesman also appeared.

The indictment charges them with perjury for having told

of a meeting of several of the principals. The grand jury said no such meeting took place.

The indictment also charges Mr. Cohn with having sent his close friend and business associate in a travel agency and professional boxing promotion, William D. Fugazy, to Garfield and Moe Dalitz, an owner of the Desert Inn, regarding their testimony before the grand jury.

Mr. Morgenthau said Mr. Cohn had threatened Garfield and Roen, and had improperly induced Garfield to falsely recant the truthful testimony he had previously given the grand jury. Mr. Cohn was further charged with having caused Mr. Fugazy to give false testimony to the grand jury.

The prosecutor said it had been part of the conspiracy for Mr. Cohn and Mr. Gottesman to perjure themselves before the panel.

The first count of the indictment charges the defendants with conspiracy to obstruct justice and commit perjury. The second accuses Mr. Cohn of perjury in denying that he had requested Mr. Fugazy to speak to Garfield and Roen concerning the relationship to Mr. Gottesman.

The third again accuses Mr.

of perjury in denying that he had sent a message to Mr. Dalitz in Europe to come home. The fourth is also a perjury charge, concerning a meeting of the principals.

The fifth charges Mr. Cohn with having obstructed justice by attempting to alter and suppress the testimony of Roen, "by causing Eli Boyer [a Los Angeles lawyer] to communicate threats from Cohn to Roen. The sixth is a similar charge except that Mr. Fugasy is alleged to have communicated the threats.

The seventh accuses Mr. Cohn of having attempted to get Garfield to change his grand jury testimony. The eighth relates to Mr. Fugasy's testimony.

The last two counts accuse Mr. Gottesman of having manufactured a story about a meeting with the United Dye principals.

Mr. Gottesman issued the following statement:

"I haven't seen the indict-

ment. I know that I have not done anything wrong or improper, and I shall ask the court to give me an opportunity at the earliest moment to prove my innocence and refute these unfounded charges."

Mr. Morgenthau said the defendants would plead to the indictment on Wednesday before Judge Herlands. But Mr. Cohn said he would appear today in court and offer his plea, adding: "I don't need a week to deny these false charges."

Mr. Cohn also scheduled a press conference at his office at 598 Madison Avenue, at 57th Street, at noon today.

The investigation was conducted by Assistant United States Attorneys Gerald Walpin and Donald J. Cohn.

The indictment was returned by the March, 1962, grand jury on the last day of its existence.

It was formally discharged by Federal Judge Dudley B. Bonsal.

If convicted, Mr. Cohn faces up to 40 years in prison and fines of \$36,000. Mr. Gottesman faces up to 15 years and a \$14,000 fine.



United Press International
INDICTED WITH COHN:
Murray E. Gottesman, lawyer, who was accused with Roy M. Cohn of perjury and obstruction of justice. The two men denied the charges.

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

_____ Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☐ Deleted under exemption(s) _____ with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☒ For your information: This document was processed in
Headquarters file 58-5100

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
58-1232-828

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

ROY COHN PLEADED INNOCENT to perjury and other charges.

The 36-year-old New York lawyer and businessman showed up in court—six days ahead of his scheduled appearance—to plead innocent to all eight counts in the Federal indictment, which charges him with perjury and conspiracy to obstruct justice. The indictment grew out of a Government investigation of possible conspiracy to bribe public officials in the \$5 million United Dye & Chemical Corp. stock-fraud case.

Cohn accused U.S. Attorney Robert Morgenthau of seeking "perjured testimony" against him. He "invited" a public investigation of the manner in which "Mr. Morgenthau and company have abused the power of their office." Cohn, who was formerly chief counsel for the late Sen. Joseph McCarthy's investigating committee, also accused Morgenthau of pandering to a grudge held against Cohn by Morgenthau's "superiors." Cohn said as far as he knew, Attorney General Robert Kennedy was the only superior Morgenthau had.

The indictment alleges Cohn conspired to prevent four men from being named as defendants in a 1959 grand jury investigation of the United Dye fraud. They weren't indicted then, but they were two years later by another grand jury. They subsequently pleaded guilty.

CLIPPING FROM THE

NY Wall Street Journal

EDITION V

DATE 9-6-65

PAGE 1

FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION

NOT FORWARDED BY NY DIVISION 9

58-1232-829

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
23 SEP 9 1965	
NEW YORK	

67c

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Probe \$35,000 Bribe To Former U. S. Aide

By NORMAND POIRIER

The New York Post learned today that a key point in the perjury case against Roy M. Cohn is testimony about a \$35,000 bribe paid to a former Justice Dept. aide.

Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

The alleged payoff was made, according to testimony given to federal officials, for the ex-U. S. official's assistance in helping to keep four principals in the multi-million-dollar United Dye and Chemical Corp. from being indicted when the case first came up in 1959.

Cohn was indicted on three counts of perjury and four counts of tampering with witnesses. There was no mention in the 47-page, 10-count indictment about bribery.

But The Post has learned that new indictments will name other defendants, including a former Justice Dept. aide who was revealed by The Post yesterday to have also helped the four avoid indictment. That kept the foursome informed of the progress of the government's case against them.

The \$35,000 bribe was reportedly paid in July or August of 1959 when Samuel S. Garfield and Irving Pasternak, both Western oil and stock promoters and top gambling figures at Las Vegas; Allard Roen, their partner and protege and an officer in the Sahara and Desert Inn hotels, and Allan K. Swann, an Indiana lawyer, found themselves in serious trouble with the SEC.

Since 1956, the SEC had been investigating United Dye stock manipulations. In 1959, the SEC referred the case to the U. S. Attorney's office in New York, recommending that the four—along with eight others—be indicted for SEC violations.

2 NEW YORK POST

Date: 9/6/63

Edition: LATE CITY

Author: NORMAND POIRIER

Editor: DOROTHY SCHIFF

Title: MORTON ROBBIN FORMER

AUSA SDNY; ROY COHN

UNDER INVESTIGATION

Character: BRIBERY

or

Classification: BU 58-5100

Submitting Office: NYO

58-1232-830

FILED

FBI - NEW YORK

b7c

0-10 0-10

-At that point—as evidence was being presented—to the grand jury Cohn and Garfield, according to Wednesday's indictment, entered into a scheme whereby Garfield and his three friends would not be named in any indictment as defendants.

When the indictments were handed up, eight men were named as defendants. Incredibly, the four principals were not among them.

It was for this reported favor, The Post has learned, that one of the four principals may be charged with having paid off \$35,000.

The alleged fix didn't work, however. Two years later, after the Kennedy Administration went into office and Morgen-

thau was appointed U. S. Attorney for the Southern District, an investigation was launched. The United Dye evidence was presented to a second grand jury, and that jury, in 1961, named Garfield, Pasternak, Roen and Swann as defendants. All four pleaded guilty.

Cohn himself charged after his arraignment yesterday that his indictment was the result of a personal grudge against him by Morgenthau. He asked the U. S. Attorney to personally prosecute the case against him. Cohn was released on his own recognizance.